

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD**SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 12191 of 2009**

=====

KESARBEN WD/O ISHWARPURI LALPURI & 1....Petitioner(s)

Versus

FULBA WD/O SHANKARPURI MOHANPURI & 34....Respondent(s)

=====

Appearance:

MR CHINMAY M GANDHI, ADVOCATE for the Petitioner(s) No. 1 - 2

MR MB GANDHI, ADVOCATE for the Petitioner(s) No. 1 - 2

MR ANSHIN H DESAI, ADVOCATE for the Respondent(s) No. 23

MR DEEPAK T DAVE, ADVOCATE for the Respondent(s) No. 11

MR MAULIK J SHELAT, ADVOCATE for the Respondent(s) No. 2 - 4 , 5.1 - 5.3
, 6 - 8 , 10 , 14 , 18 - 19 , 21 - 22

MR PINAKIN M RAVAL, ADVOCATE for the Respondent(s) No. 27 - 28

MR PRADEEP PATEL, ADVOCATE for the Respondent(s) No. 1 , 3 - 4 , 5.1 -
5.3 , 6 - 8 , 10 - 11 , 13.1 - 13.2 , 14 - 19 , 21

MR SHIVANG J SHUKLA, ADVOCATE for the Respondent(s) No. 24 - 25

MS TRUSHA K PATEL, ADVOCATE for the Respondent(s) No. 34

RULE SERVED for the Respondent(s) No. 20 , 26 , 29 - 30 , 31.1 - 31.3 , 32 -
33 , 35

RULE SERVED BY DS for the Respondent(s) No. 9.1 - 9.4 , 12

=====

CORAM: **HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE C.L. SONI****Date : 03/08/2017****ORAL ORDER**

Learned advocate Mr.Vimal Patel states that as per the order dated 21.01.2014 passed in Civil Application No.6632 of 2013, the applicant – Dhaneshbhai Bhikhubhai Patel was permitted to be impleaded as party respondent in the main petition, however, his appearance is not shown for newly added party respondent. It

appears that after the said order was made, no amendment is made in the cause-title of the main petition so as to add the said applicant as party respondent. Office may make necessary amendment in the cause-title of the main petition as per the order dated 21.01.2014 passed in Civil Application No.6632 of 2013 and to show the appearance of learned advocate Mr.Vimal Patel for newly added party respondent in the main petition.

S.O to 10th August 2017.

(C.L.SONI, J.)

vijay