

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD**R/SPECIAL CRIMINAL APPLICATION (PAROLE LEAVE) NO. 7680 of
2026**

=====

MANOJ @ MANIYO VIRKUMAR @ RAJUBHAI KESARI
Versus
STATE OF GUJARAT & ORS.

=====

Appearance:
THROUGH JAIL for the Applicant(s) No. 1
MR RONAK RAVAL, APP for the Respondent(s) No. 1

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ILESH J. VORA**Date : 05/06/2026****ORAL ORDER**

1. The applicant, who has been sentenced to undergo 10 years imprisonment, has filed present application through jail for releasing him on parole leave as the competent authority has rejected his parole application.
2. The convict is claiming parole leave on the grounds mentioned in the application.
3. Record indicates that application of parole leave has been rejected as there is negative opinion of the police authority, jail conduct is not good, the offence is serious in nature, there is jail punishment, there are other members in the family who can provide financial assistance and there is apprehension of breach of peace and jumping the parole.
4. It is settled law that the grant of parole is not a right vested with the prisoner and is rather a privilege available to the prisoner on fulfilling certain conditions. This is a

discretionary power which has to be exercised by the authorities conferred with such powers under the provisions of the Prisons (Bombay Furlough and Parole) Rules, 1959.

5. Having regard to the grounds mentioned in the application for parole, jail remarks and reason for rejecting parole leave by the authority, this Court does not find any infirmity with the order passed by the authority while rejecting the claim of the prisoner for grant of parole. Consequently, the application deserves to be dismissed and same is accordingly dismissed.

TAUSIF SAIYED

(ILESH J. VORA,J)