

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD**R/FIRST APPEAL NO. 4914 of 2023****With****R/FIRST APPEAL NO. 4917 of 2023****With****R/FIRST APPEAL NO. 4918 of 2023****With****R/FIRST APPEAL NO. 4922 of 2023****With****CIVIL APPLICATION (FOR STAY) NO. 2 of 2023****In R/FIRST APPEAL NO. 4922 of 2023****With****R/FIRST APPEAL NO. 4923 of 2023****With****CIVIL APPLICATION (FOR STAY) NO. 2 of 2023****In R/FIRST APPEAL NO. 4923 of 2023**

=====

ATUL PRABHULAL SHAH**Versus****PRIYADARSHANBHAI BHIMBHAI MEHTA**

=====

Appearance:**JAIMIN A GANDHI(8065) for the Appellant(s) No. 1****MR PA JADEJA(3726) for the Appellant(s) No. 1****HARSH V GAJJAR(7828) for the Defendant(s) No. 1**

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MS. JUSTICE GITA GOPI**Date : 18/12/2023****ORAL ORDER**

It has been noted by this Court that AMC has not been made a party to the suit proceedings and the landlord has accepted the fact that even after 1990, the appellants have accepted that the tenants have been in possession of the suit property. The case as was pleaded by the plaintiff was to the effect that the

tenants have surrendered their tenancy rights and alternative property was provided by AMC. Nothing has been placed on record to show as to which of the definite property was granted by the AMC to the appellants. Let learned advocate for the landlord place on record this information by the next date of hearing. Let the matter be listed on 22.12.2023.

(GITA GOPI,J)

Maulik