

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD**R/CRIMINAL MISC.APPLICATION (FOR ANTICIPATORY
BAIL) NO. 12357 of 2026**

=====

CHIRAG JITENDRAKUMAR AGRAWAL
Versus
STATE OF GUJARAT

=====

Appearance:

JAYDEEP H SINDHI(9585) for the Applicant(s) No. 1
MR NIRAJ SHARMA, APP for the Respondent(s) No. 1

=====

CORAM:HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ILESH J. VORA

Date : 02/06/2026

ORAL ORDER

- (i) Rule. Learned APP waives service of notice of Rule on behalf of respondent-State.
- (ii) By way of the present application under Section 482 of the BNSS, the applicant-accused has prayed for anticipatory bail in connection with the FIR being C.R. No. 11216022260224 of 2026 registered with Kalol Taluka Police Station, District: Gandhinagar for the offences under Sections 316(2), 316(5), 318(4) and 54 of the B.N.S.
- (iii) Learned advocate for the applicant submits that the applicant has been falsely implicated in the alleged offence. He has no past antecedents of like nature and custodial interrogation of the applicant is not essential for the purpose of investigation. Lastly he has submitted that the criminal proceedings has not initiated to recover the due amount of self transaction and nothing else.

- (iv) Learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing on behalf of the respondent - State has opposed grant of anticipatory bail stating inter alia that the allegations against the applicant are grave and serious in nature and custodial interrogation is necessary for further investigation of the case.
- (v) Having heard the learned advocates for the respective parties and perusing the material placed on record and taking into consideration the facts of the case, it appears that the applicant Chirag Agrawal has been arranged as accused no. 3 in the FIR. And as per the allegations made against him, he had purchased clothes from the complainant in the year of 2024 worth amounting to Rs.74,88,746/-. In such circumstances, it appears that the criminal proceedings has not been initiated so as to recover the amount of business transaction. The prosecution has failed to demonstrate that the custodial interrogation of the applicant is essential.
- (vi) Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and the role attributed to the present applicant in the alleged offence, I find no reason to decline pre-arrest bail to the applicant. In the result, the present application is allowed. The applicant is ordered to be released on bail in the event of his arrest in connection with a FIR being C.R. No. 11216022260224 of 2026 registered with Kalol Taluka Police Station, District: Gandhinagar on his executing a personal bond of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand Only) with one surety of like amount on the following conditions:

- (a) shall cooperate with the investigation and make himself available for interrogation whenever required;
 - (b) shall remain present at concerned Police Station on 11/06/2026 between 11.00 a.m. and 2.00 p.m.;
 - (c) shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the fact of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the court or to any police officer;
 - (d) shall not obstruct or hamper the police investigation and not to play mischief with the evidence collected or yet to be collected by the police;
 - (e) shall at the time of execution of bond, furnish the address to the investigating officer and the court concerned and shall not change his residence till the final disposal of the case till further orders;
 - (f) shall not leave India without the permission of the Trial Court and if having passport shall deposit the same before the Trial Court within a week; and
8. At the trial, the Trial Court shall not be influenced by the prima facie observations made by this Court in the present order.
9. Rule is made absolute to the aforesaid extent. Direct service

is permitted.

Kaushal Rathod

(ILESH J. VORA,J)