

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD**R/SPECIAL CRIMINAL APPLICATION (PAROLE LEAVE) NO. 7576
of 2026**

=====

ROOP NARAYAN MEENA S/O HARNARAYAN MEENA
Versus
STATE OF GUJARAT & ORS.

=====

Appearance:

MR. PARTH .B. CHAUHAN(14307) for the Applicant(s) No. 1
MR. NIRAJ SHARMA, ADDL. PUBLIC PROSECUTOR for the
Respondent(s) No. 1

=====

CORAM:HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ILESH J. VORA

Date : 02/06/2026

ORAL ORDER

1. The applicant has filed the present application seeking release on parole leave, being aggrieved by the order passed by the competent authority rejecting his parole application.
2. The convict is claiming parole leave on the grounds mentioned in the application.
3. Record indicates that application of parole leave has been rejected as there is negative opinion of the police authority, jail conduct is not good, the offence is serious in nature, there jail punishment, there are other members in the family who can look after the patient, the applicant has absconded earlier while released, there is apprehension of breach of peace and jumping the parole and the reason for parole leave is not in consonance with the guidelines of parole.
4. It is settled law that the grant of parole is not a right vested with

the prisoner and is rather a privilege available to the prisoner on fulfilling certain conditions. This is a discretionary power which has to be exercised by the authorities conferred with such powers under the provisions of the Prisons (Bombay Furlough and Parole) Rules, 1959.

5. Having regard to the grounds mentioned in the application for parole, jail remarks and reason for rejecting parole leave by the authority, this Court does not find any infirmity with the order passed by the authority while rejecting the claim of the prisoner for grant of parole. Consequently, the application deserves to be dismissed and same is accordingly dismissed.

Mehul Desai

(ILESH J. VORA,J)