

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD**R/CRIMINAL MISC.APPLICATION (FOR REGULAR BAIL - AFTER
CHARGESHEET) NO. 10436 of 2026**

=====

KAMLESH JAYESHBHAI RANPARA
Versus
STATE OF GUJARAT

=====

Appearance:

MR. AKSHAY B PRAJAPATI(14518) for the Applicant(s) No. 1
MR.SHATRUGHN S SONI(17589) for the Applicant(s) No. 1
MR.SOAHAM JOSHI, APP for the Respondent(s) No. 1

=====

**CORAM:HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE UTKARSH THAKORBHAI
DESAI**

Date : 04/05/2026

ORAL ORDER

1. Heard learned advocate appearing on behalf of the applicant and learned APP appearing on behalf of the respondent-State.
2. **Rule.** Learned APP waives service of rule on behalf of the respondent-State.
3. The applicant has filed this application under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 for enlarging the applicant on Regular Bail in connection with FIR being C.R. No. 11189010250010 of 2025 registered with Cyber Crime Police Station, Morbi for the offence punishable under Sections 317(2), 111(2)

(b), 316(5), 318(4), 61(2) of the BNS, 2023.

4. Learned advocate for the applicant would submit that, considering the role attributed to the applicant, and nature of the allegation levelled, the applicant may be enlarged on regular bail. It is further submitted that, since the charge-sheet is filed, further incarceration of the applicant will not benefit the Investigation Officer in any manner. It is further contended that, the applicant is ready and willing to abide by all the conditions that may be imposed by this Court, if released on bail.

5. As against the same, learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent - State has vehemently objected to the grant of regular bail. Learned APP has submitted that looking to the nature of offence and the role attributed to the present applicant as coming out from the charge-sheet, this Court may not exercise discretion in favour of the applicant and the application may be dismissed..

6. I have heard learned advocates appearing on behalf of the respective parties and perused the charge-sheet

papers. Following aspects are considered:-

- i. The applicant is a permanent resident of Morbi, hence would be available at the time of trial;
- ii. The applicant does not have any criminal antecedents;
- iii. As per the prosecution case, the applicant had received commission of Rs. 84,000/- towards the fraudulent amounts which were deposited in the two bank accounts viz. with Indusind Bank and IDFC first Bank, and the said amounts having being withdrawn by him and passed on to other co-accused;
- iv. The apprehension of the learned APP, as regards the non-availability of the applicant during the trial can be put to rest by imposing stringent conditions;
- v. As per the prosecution case, 17 different complaints have been filed in different states as regards these two bank accounts namely, with Indusind Bank and IDFC Bank, however as of now, there is no evidence about the applicant being involved in these 17 complaints.

vi. It does not appear that, any other FIR of identified nature has been registered against the present applicant, within the State of Gujarat.

vii. Co-accused Yash Maheshbhai Vadhadiya has been granted bail by this Court vide order dated 16.04.2026 passed in Criminal Misc. application No.8793 of 2026.

This Court has taken into consideration the law laid down by the Hon'ble Apex Court in the case of **Sanjay Chandra v. Central Bureau of Investigation reported in [2012] 1 SCC 40.**

7. In the facts and circumstances of the case and considering the nature of the allegations made against the applicant in the First Information Report, without discussing the evidence in detail, prima facie, this Court is of the opinion that this is a fit case to exercise the discretion and enlarge the applicant on regular bail.

8. Hence, the present application is allowed. The applicant is ordered to be released on bail in connection

with F.I.R. registered as C.R. No. 11189010250010 of 2025 registered with Cyber Crime Police Station, Morbi, on executing a bail bond of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only) with one surety of the like amount to the satisfaction of the trial Court and subject to the conditions that he shall;

[a] not take undue advantage of liberty or misuse liberty;

[b] not act in a manner injurious to the interest of the prosecution;

[c] surrender passport, if any, to the lower court within a week, and if he does not possess a passport, he shall file an affidavit to that effect;

[d] not leave the State of Gujarat without prior permission of the Sessions Court concerned;

[e] furnish his present address of his residence to the I.O. and the Court at the time of execution of the bond and shall not change his residence without prior intimation to the I.O. and the court;

[f] mark his presence on every Friday before the concerned police station till the trial is over.

[g] not indulge in similar kind of offence hereinafter, for which, he shall file affidavits before the concerned court and the police station.

9. The Authorities will release the applicant only if he is not required in connection with any other offence for the time being. If breach of any of the above conditions is committed, the Sessions Court concerned will be at liberty to take appropriate action in the matter.

10. Bail bond to be executed before the trial court having jurisdiction to try the case. It will be open for the concerned Court to delete, modify and/or relax any of the above conditions in accordance with law.

11. At the stage of trial, the competent court shall not be influenced by any observations of this Court which are of preliminary nature, made at this stage only for the purpose of enlarging the applicant on regular bail.

12. The application is allowed in the aforesaid terms.

Rule is made absolute to the aforesaid extent. Direct service is permitted.

ANKIT SHAH

(UTKARSH THAKORBHAI DESAI, J)