

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD**R/CRIMINAL MISC.APPLICATION (FOR SUCCESSIVE REGULAR BAIL -
AFTER CHARGESHEET) NO. 10174 of 2026**

=====

AAMADBHAI HUSHENBHAI NAREJA

Versus

STATE OF GUJARAT

=====

Appearance:

MR VIRAT G POPAT(3710) for the Applicant(s) No. 1

MR. TRUPESH KATHIRIYA, APP for the Respondent(s) No. 1

=====

CORAM:HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE M. R. MENGDEY**Date : 03/07/2026****ORDER**

1. **Rule.** Learned APP waives service of notice of Rule on behalf of respondent-State.
2. This application is filed under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita for regular bail in connection with **F.I.R. No.11203023241218 of 2014 registered with Junagadh City 'A' Division Police Station, District Junagadh.**
3. Learned advocate for the applicant has submitted that considering the nature of offence and role attributed to the applicant, the applicant may be enlarged on regular bail by imposing suitable conditions.
4. The learned APP appearing for the respondent State has

vehemently submitted that the applicant is the part of the syndicate involved in the illegal activities. He further submitted that there are seven other offences registered against the present applicant after commencement of the Act and petitioner has been convicted in the said offences. Learned APP has, therefore, submitted that the offence is serious in nature and looking to the facts as well as the allegations levelled against the applicant, no discretion is required to be exercised.

5. Heard learned advocates appearing for the parties and perused the material available on record. Investigation is over and Charge-sheet has already been filed. Earlier the applicant had preferred Criminal Misc. Application No.11463 of 2025 which was allowed to be withdrawn by this Court vide order dated 08.07.2025 and the applicant was permitted to file an application afresh after nine months, if there is no progress in the trial. Learned advocate appearing for the applicant has drawn attention of this Court to the status of trial, which indicates that the trial has not progressed even an inch after withdrawal of the earlier bail application. The applicant has been arrested in connection with the present offence in the month of December, 2024 and since then, he is in custody. Having regard to the same, the present application deserves to be allowed.
6. This court has also considered the following aspects:
 - (a) As per catena of decisions of Hon'ble Supreme

Court, there are mainly 3 factors which are required to be considered by this court i.e. *prima facie* case, availability of Applicant accused at the time of trial and tampering and hampering with the witnesses by the accused.

- (b) That the learned Advocate for the Applicant has submitted that the Applicant Accused is not likely to flee away.
- (c) That the Applicant is in custody.
- (d) The law laid down by the Hon'ble Apex Court in the case of **Sanjay Chandra v. C.B.I. Reported in (2012) 1 SCC 40.**

7. In the facts and circumstances of the case and considering the nature of allegations made in the FIR and without discussing the evidence in details as well as without going into details, *prima-facie*, this Court is of the opinion that this is a fit case to exercise the discretion to enlarge the applicant on bail. Hence, the application is allowed and the applicant is ordered to be released on bail in connection with the aforesaid FIR, on executing a bond of **Rs.10,000/- (Ten Thousand)** with one surety of the like amount to the satisfaction of the trial Court and subject to the conditions that;

- (a) shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the fact of the case so as to dissuade from disclosing such facts to the Court or

any Police Officer or tamper with the evidence.

- (b) shall maintain law and order and not to indulge in any criminal activities.
- (c) shall furnish the documentary proof of complete, correct and present address of residence to the Investigating Officer and to the Trial Court at the time of executing the bond and shall not change residence without prior permission of the trial Court.
- (d) shall provide contact numbers as well as the contact numbers of the sureties before the Trial Court. In case of change in such numbers inform in writing immediately to the trial Court.
- (e) shall file an affidavit stating immovable properties whether self acquired or ancestral with description, location and present value of such properties before the Trial Court, if any.
- (f) shall not leave India without prior permission of the Trial Court
- (g) surrender passport, if any, to the Trial Court within a week. If accused does not possess passport, shall file an Affidavit to that effect.
- (h) shall mark presence before the concerned police station once in a month for a period of six months between 11:00 a.m. and 2:00 p.m.
- (i) shall not enter into Junagadh City for a period of one year.

8. The authorities concerned shall release the applicant only if he is not required in connection with any other offence

for the time being. If breach of any of the above conditions is committed, the Sessions Judge concerned will be free to issue warrant or take appropriate action in the matter. Bail bond to be executed before the lower court having jurisdiction to try the case. It will be open for the concerned Court to delete, modify and/or relax any of the above conditions in accordance with law.

9. At the trial, the concerned trial Court shall not be influenced by the *prima facie* observations made by this Court in the present order.
10. Rule made absolute to the aforesaid extent. Direct service is permitted.

(M. R. MENGDEY,J)

NABILA