

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD**R/CRIMINAL MISC.APPLICATION (FOR REGULAR BAIL - AFTER
CHARGESHEET) NO. 10028 of 2026**

=====

JIGAR LALCHAND PARGHI

Versus

STATE OF GUJARAT

=====

Appearance:

MR NR KODEKAR(5020) for the Applicant(s) No. 1

MS. PUJA M. RAVAL(19556) for the Applicant(s) No. 1

MS JYOTI BHATT, ADDL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR for the Respondent(s) No.
1

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE UTKARSH THAKORBHAI
DESAI****Date : 06/05/2026****ORAL ORDER**

1. Heard learned advocate Mr. N.R. Kodekar appearing on behalf of the applicant and learned Additional Public Prosecutor Ms. Jyoti Bhatt appearing on behalf of the respondent-State.

2. **Rule.** Learned APP waives service of rule on behalf of the respondent-State.

3. The applicant has filed this application under

Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 for enlarging the applicant on Regular Bail in connection with FIR being C.R. No.11209020251747 of 2025 registered with Idar Police Station, District Sabarkantha for the offence punishable under Sections 8(C), 20(b) and 29 of the NDPS Act as well as under Section 78 of The Juvenile Justice Act.

4. Learned advocate for the applicant would submit that, considering the role attributed to the applicant, and nature of the allegation levelled, the applicant may be enlarged on regular bail. It is further submitted that, since the charge-sheet is filed, further incarceration of the applicant will not benefit the Investigation Officer in any manner. It is further contended that, the applicant is ready and willing to abide by all the conditions that may be imposed by this Court, if released on bail. Learned advocate for the applicant has submitted that, though the applicant is a permanent resident of Rajasthan, he shall remain within the territorial jurisdiction of the State of

Gujarat.

5. As against the same, learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent - State has vehemently objected to the grant of regular bail. Learned APP has submitted that looking to the nature of offence and the role attributed to the present applicant as coming out from the charge-sheet, this Court may not exercise discretion in favour of the applicant and the application may be dismissed.

6. I have heard learned advocates appearing on behalf of the respective parties and perused the charge-sheet papers. Following aspects are considered:-

- i. Though the applicant is a permanent resident of Rajasthan, learned advocate for the applicant has submitted that, the applicant shall not leave the State of Gujarat, hence would be available at the time of trial;

- ii. There are no criminal antecedents against the applicant;
- iii. The contraband which came to be seized was 3.021kgs. of Ganja being of intermediate quantity;
- iv. The applicant was trying to sell the contraband ganja to co-accused Sunil Raval, and at that time, both of them and co-accused Sandip, who had accompanied the applicant, were all apprehended by the police;
- v. The apprehension of learned APP, as regards the applicant indulging in similar kind of offence, if granted bail, can be put to rest by imposing strict conditions;
- vi. The investigation is over and chargesheet has been filed;

7. This Court has taken into consideration the law laid down by the Hon'ble Apex Court in the case of **Sanjay Chandra v. Central Bureau of Investigation reported in [2012] 1 SCC 40.**

8. In the facts and circumstances of the case and considering the nature of the allegations made against the applicant in the First Information Report, without discussing the evidence in detail, prima facie, this Court is of the opinion that, this is a fit case to exercise the discretion and enlarge the applicant on regular bail.

9. Hence, the present application is allowed. The applicant is ordered to be released on bail in connection with F.I.R. registered as C.R. No.11209020251747 of 2025 registered with Idar Police Station, District Sabarkantha, on executing a bail bond of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only) with one surety of the like amount to the satisfaction of the trial Court and subject to the conditions that he shall;

[a] not take undue advantage of liberty or misuse liberty;

[b] not act in a manner injurious to the interest of the prosecution;

[c] surrender his passport, if any, to the trial court within a week, and if he does not possess a passport, he shall file an affidavit to that effect;

[d] not leave the State of Gujarat without prior permission of the Competent Court concerned;

[e] furnish the present address of his residence to the I.O. and to the Court at the time of execution of the bond and shall not change his residence without prior intimation to the I.O. and the court;

[f] mark his presence on every Sunday before the concerned police station till the trial is over;

[g] not indulge in similar kind of offence hereinafter, for which, he shall file affidavits before the concerned court and the police station.

10. The Authorities will release the applicant only if he is not required in connection with any other offence for

the time being. If breach of any of the above conditions is committed, the Sessions Court concerned will be at liberty to take appropriate action in accordance with law.

11. Bail bond to be executed before the trial court having jurisdiction to try the case. It will be open for the concerned Court to delete, modify and/or relax any of the above conditions in accordance with law.

12. At the stage of trial, the competent court shall not be influenced by any observations of this Court which are of preliminary nature, made at this stage only for the purpose of enlarging the applicant on regular bail.

13. The application is allowed in the aforesaid terms. Rule is made absolute to the aforesaid extent. Direct service is permitted.

(UTKARSH THAKORBHAI DESAI, J)

DIVYA