

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD**R/CRIMINAL MISC.APPLICATION (FOR REGULAR BAIL - AFTER
CHARGESHEET) NO. 9903 of 2026**

=====

MOHMAD ISAK MEMAN

Versus

STATE OF GUJARAT

=====

Appearance:

MR ASHISH M DAGLI(2203) for the Applicant(s) No. 1

MR JA ADESHRA(107) for the Respondent(s) No. 1

MR HARDIK MEHTA, APP for the Respondent(s) No. 1

=====

CORAM:HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE HASMUKH D. SUTHAR

Date : 16/06/2026**ORDER**

[1.0] **RULE.** Learned APP waives service of rule for the respondent-State.

[2.0] The present application is filed under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (for short "BNSS") for regular bail in connection with FIR being **C.R. No.11822015250539 of 2025** registered with **Jalalpore Police Station, District Navsari** for the offences punishable under Sections 386, 506(2), 507, 120(B) and 34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (for short "IPC") and sections 25(1-B)(A) and 25(1)(A) of the Arms Act and section 135 of the Gujarat Police Act.

[3.0] Learned advocate appearing on behalf of the applicant submits that applicant is innocent and has been falsely implicated in the offence and now nothing is required to be recovered or discovered. He therefore submits that, considering the nature of the offence, the applicant may be enlarged on regular bail by imposing suitable

conditions.

[4.0] Learned APP appearing on behalf of the respondent-State has opposed the present application and requested to dismiss the present application for regular bail looking to the nature and gravity of the offence.

[5.0] While granting bail, the Court has to consider the involvement of the accused in the alleged offence, the jurisdiction to grant bail has to be exercised on the basis of the well settled principles having regard to the facts and circumstances of each case and the following factors are to be taken into consideration while considering an application for bail: (i) the nature of accusation and the severity of the punishment and the nature of the materials relied upon by the prosecution; (ii) reasonable apprehension of tampering with the witnesses and threat to the complainant or the witnesses; (iii) reasonable possibility of securing the presence of the accused at the time of trial or the likelihood of his abscondence; (iv) character behaviour and standing of the accused and the circumstances which are peculiar to the accused; (v) larger interest of the public or the State and similar other considerations are required to be considered.

[6.0] I have heard the learned advocates appearing on behalf of the respective parties and perused the investigation papers. Following aspects have been considered:

- (1) The case of prosecution is that in collusion and connivance of co-accused, pre-planned conspiracy was hatched to extort money from the complainant and complainant was threatened that his son will be killed and accused persons during the period from October, 2023 to January, 2025 have received

Rs.3.40 Crore however, now the investigation is over and charge-sheet is filed;

- (2) The co-accused Harmitsingh Premsingh Sardar @ Sherabhai and Mahendrasinh Bahadursinh Labana against whom allegation of administering threat are made have been considered by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the proceedings of Petition for Special Leave to Appeal (Cri.) Nos.3293/2026 and 5450/2026 vide orders dated 20.03.2026 and 27.04.2026 respectively and hence, applicant is entitled to get the benefit of parity in view of decision in the case of **Ramesh Batukbhai Dabhi vs. State of Gujarat** reported in **2011 (3) GLR 1150**;
- (3) Applicant is behind the bars since 13.06.2025;
- (4) There is nothing to be recovered or discovered from the applicant;
- (5) So far as apprehension of learned APP that applicant will indulge in similar type of activity as he is having past antecedent is concerned, same can be taken care of by putting stringent condition;
- (6) Obviously commencement and conclusion of trial will take some time.

[7.0] This Court has also taken into consideration the law laid down by the Hon'ble Apex Court in the case of **Sanjay Chandra vs. Central Bureau of Investigation** reported in **[2012]1 SCC 40** as well as in the case of **Gudikanti Narasimhulu And Ors vs. Public Prosecutor, High Court of Andhra Pradesh** reported in **(1978)1 SCC 240**. Obviously,

the conclusion of trial will take time and keeping the accused behind the bars is nothing but amounts to pre-trial conviction and therefore, considering the celebrated principle of bail jurisprudence is that "*bail is a rule and jail is exception*" as well as the concept of personal liberty guaranteed under Article 21 of the Constitution of India, present application deserves consideration.

[8.0] In the facts and circumstances of the case and considering the nature of the allegations made against the applicant in the FIR, without discussing the evidence in detail, *prima facie*, this Court is of the opinion that this is a fit case to exercise the discretion and enlarge the applicant on regular bail. Hence, the present application is allowed. The applicant is ordered to be released on regular bail in connection with FIR being **C.R. No.11822015250539 of 2025** registered with **Jalalpore Police Station, District Navsari** on executing a personal bond of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty-five Thousand only) with one surety of the like amount to the satisfaction of the trial Court and subject to the conditions that he shall;

- (a) not take undue advantage of liberty or misuse liberty;
- (b) not act in a manner injurious to the interest of the prosecution & shall not obstruct or hamper the police investigation and shall not to play mischief with the evidence collected or yet to be collected by the police;
- (c) surrender passport, if any, to the Trial Court within a week;
- (d) not leave the State of Gujarat without prior permission of the Trial Court concerned;
- (e) mark presence before the concerned Police Station once in a month for a period of six months between 11.00 a.m. and 2.00 p.m.;

- (f) furnish the UIDAI Number, Contact Number/s, Passport Number (if he is having the passport), E-mail address and present address of his residence to the Investigating Officer and also to the Court at the time of execution of the bond and shall not change the residence without prior permission of Trial Court;
- (h) not to indulge in any illegal activity or any similar type of offence failing which concerned Investigating Officer shall have liberty to file an application for cancellation of bail against the present applicant;
- (i) **not to enter District Navsari for a period of SIX MONTHS except for marking presence before the concerned police station and/or for attending Court proceedings.**

[9.0] The authorities will release the applicant only if he is not required in connection with any other offence for the time being. If breach of any of the above conditions is committed, the Sessions Judge concerned will be free to issue warrant or take appropriate action in the matter.

[10.0] Bail bond to be executed before the lower Court having jurisdiction to try the case. It will be open for the concerned Court to delete, modify and/or relax any of the above conditions, in accordance with law.

[11.0] At the trial, the trial Court shall not be influenced by the observations of preliminary nature qua the evidence at this stage made by this Court while enlarging the applicant on bail.

[12.0] Rule is made absolute to the aforesaid extent. Direct service is permitted.

(HASMUKH D. SUTHAR, J.)

Ajay