

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD**R/SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 7106 of 2026****FOR APPROVAL AND SIGNATURE:****HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE HEMANT M. PRACHCHHAK**

Approved for Reporting	Yes	No
		✓

ABDULHANIF ABDULRAZAQ SHAIKH
Versus
THE REGIONAL PASSPORT OFFICE

Appearance:

VALIMOHAMMED PATHAN(6383) for the Petitioner(s) No. 1
MR PRADIP D BHATE(1523) for the Respondent(s) No. 1

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE HEMANT M.
PRACHCHHAK**

Date : 08/05/2026**JUDGMENT**

1. RULE returnable forthwith. Learned Standing Counsel waives service of notice of rule on behalf of the respondents.
2. With the consent of learned counsel for the respective parties, the present petition is taken up for hearing.
3. Present petition is filed by the petitioner under Article 226 of the Constitution of India and under the provisions of the Passport Act, 1967 seeking direction to respondent Passport authority to issue passport for a period of 10 years to the petitioner.
4. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned counsel

Standing Counsel for the respondents - authorities.

5. Learned advocate for the petitioner has submitted that under the prevalent rules of the GSR Notification 570(E) dated 25.08.1993, the respondent authority has to renew the passport of the petitioner for a period of 10 years. He has submitted that as the validity of the petitioner's passport was expired, the petitioner had applied for renewal of the passport for the period of 10 years, however, the respondent has not renewed the passport on the ground that the criminal case is pending. He has submitted that the petitioner has to travel to abroad but due to non-renewal/issuance of the passport, he is not able to visit. He has, therefore, submitted that appropriate direction be passed since the petitioner is well settled and has no intention of absconding.

6. Per contra, Mr. Pradip D. Bhate, learned Standing Counsel for the respondents has submitted that the passport of the petitioner has been renewed from time to time in terms of the GSR Notification 570(E) dated 25.08.1993. He submits that where the order of the Trial Court grants permission to travel abroad for a period of less than 1 year but does not specify the period, the passport has to be renewed only for 1 year. He submits that in view of said Notification, the passport authorities have issued the passport as per the rules and the prevalent notifications. He submits that, however, there is no restriction on this Court to issue a direction for issuance of the passport for a certain period of validity as per the rules. He, therefore, submits that appropriate directions be passed accordingly.

7. Considered the submissions and perused the documents on

record.

8. The GSR Notification 570(E) dated 25.08.1993 reads as under:-

G.S.R 570 (E)-In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (a) of the Section 22 of the Passports Act 1967 (15 of 1967) and in supersession of the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of External Affairs No. G.S.R. 298(E), dated the 14th April, 1976, the Central Government, being of the opinion that it is necessary in public interest to do so, hereby exempts citizens of India against whom proceedings in respect of an offences alleged to have been committed by them are pending before a criminal court in India and who produce orders from the court concerned permitting them to depart from India, from the operation of the provisions of Clause (f) of sub-section (2) of Section 6 of the said Act, subject to the following conditions, namely :-

(a) the passport to be issued to every such citizen shall be issued-

(i) for the period specified in order of the court referred to above, if the court specifies a period for which the passport has to be issued; or

(ii) if no period either for the issue of the passport or for the travel abroad is specified in such order, the passport shall be issued for a period one year.

(iii) if such order gives permission to travel abroad for a period less than one year, but does not specify the period validity of the passport, the passport shall be issued for one year; or

(iv) if such order gives permission to travel abroad for a period exceeding one year, and does not specify the validity of the passport, then the passport shall be issued for period of travel abroad specified in the order.

(b) any passport issued in terms of (a) (ii) and (a) (iii) above can be further renewed for one year at a time, provided the applicant has not travelled abroad for the period sanctioned by the court and provided further that, in the meantime, the order of the court is not cancelled or modified.

(c) any passport issued in terms of (a) (i) above can be further renewed only on the basis of 3 fresh court order specifying a further period of validity of the passport or specifying a period

for travel abroad;

(d) the said citizen shall give an undertaking in writing to the passport issuing authority that he shall if required by the court concerned, appear before it at any time during the continuance in force of the passport so issued.

9. Clause (ii) thereof states that if there is no period specified in the order passed by the Trial Court for issuance of passport, the passport shall be issued for a period of 1 year. The said Notification also specifies in Clause (i) that if the Court specifies the period for which the passport has to be issued, then the passport shall be issued for such a specified period. In the present case, the passport authority has processed the renewal application of the petitioner for a period of 1 year since the Trial Court has not specified any period in its order.

10. The Division Bench of the Bombay High Court in writ petition No.361 of 2014 dated 13.03.2014 has held thus:-

10. In the circumstances, we propose to issue guidelines to be followed by the Respondents on receipt of the applications for renewal of the passports, in all cases, where the Magistrate's court has directed that the passports may be renewed as per the "Rules".

11. Accordingly, we issue the following directions :-

(a) In all cases where the Magistrate's court directs renewal of the passports under the Rules, the Passport Rules, 1980 shall apply and passports other than for a child aged more than 15 years shall be renewed for a period of ten years or twenty years as the case may be from the date of its issue. All qualifying applicants are entitled to have passport renewed for at least ten years. The Regional Passport Office shall renew the passports of such qualifying applicants at least for ten years.

(b) In case where the passports are valid and the applicants hold valid visas on existing passport, the Regional Passport Officer shall issue the additional booklet to the same passport provided

the applicant had obtained permission to travel abroad.

(c) If the learned Magistrate passes an order making the reference to the said Notification No. G.S.R. 570(E) dated 26th August, 1993, the passport shall be renewed only for such period that the Magistrate may specify in the order or as otherwise specified in the said Notification where the passport of the applicant is valid for less than one year, the additional booklet may be issued subject to the orders to be obtained in this behalf only of the Magistrate concerned.

11. For avoidance of doubt, we clarify that the guidelines set out herein will be applicable only in the cases where the learned Magistrate ordered renewal of the passports as per Passport Rules, 1980 and to no other. In other cases, where the learned Magistrate had granted permission to the accused persons to depart from India, the provisions of Section 6(2)(f) of the Passports Act, 1967 and the Notification(s) issued thereunder from time to time by the Ministry of External Affairs or such other competent authority so empowered, will continue to apply and directions permitting the accused persons to depart from India and/or the orders permitting renewal of the passports of such accused persons shall continue to be governed by such Notification(s).

12. Though the said decision is not binding on this Court, it has certainly a persuasive value. In the considered opinion of this Court, the ratio of the said judgment squarely applies in the facts and circumstances of the present case. The Central Government has issued a Notification by exercising powers vested in it under Section 6(2)(f) of the Passport Act, 1967 being GSR Notification 570(E) dated 25.08.1993. There being an ambiguity under the provisions of the Act, the Rules and the GSR Notification 570(E) dated 25.08.1993 issued by the passport authority, the Division Bench of the Bombay High Court

has clarified the said ambiguity in case of **Narendra K. Ambwani Vs. Union of India & Ors., 2014 SCC Online Bom 356**. This Court is also of the considered opinion that the passport authorities do not have any authority to decide whether the accused has a right to travel abroad and such authority is only vested in the Trial Court which can impose conditions if an application is made seeking permission to travel abroad. This Court is of the considered opinion that the directions issued by the Bombay High Court are binding upon the passport authorities to renew the passport for a period of 10 years as per the Act and the Rules.

13. In view of the aforesaid reasons and observations, the respondent authority is directed to renew/issue the passport of the petitioner for a period of 10 years. However, it is clarified that if the petitioner has to undertake any travel abroad, he will have to make appropriate application to the concerned Court seeking permission to travel abroad, which shall impose such conditions as it deems fit and proper in case of the petitioner. The application for renewal/issuance of the passport made by the petitioner, if any, be decided expeditiously within a period of 4 weeks from the date of receipt of this order.

14. With the aforesaid directions, the present Special Civil Application is allowed and accordingly stands disposed of. Rule is made absolute to the aforesaid extent. No order as to costs. Direct service is permitted.

(HEMANT M. PRACHCHAK,J)

Dolly