

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD**R/CRIMINAL REVISION APPLICATION NO. 562 of 2018**

=====

SHREYAS VIRENDRABHAI MISTRY

Versus

STATE OF GUJARAT

=====

Appearance:

HARSHIT R PUROHIT(8385) for the Applicant(s) No. 1

MR KL PANDYA, ADDL PUBLIC PROSECUTOR(2) for the Respondent(s)
No. 1

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE V.P. PATEL

Date : 07/03/2019

ORAL ORDER

Heard learned advocate Mr. Harshit R. Purohit for the applicant and learned APP Mr. K. L. Pandya for respondent – State. It is argued by learned advocate for the petitioner that the issue for which the application is filed only for para 5 of the final order passed by the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Narmada in Sessions Case No.36 of 2016.

It is also submitted that the mobile was not seized from the applicant – accused and also submitted that they did not claim for such mobile. As per para 21 of the impugned judgment, it is stated that HTC mobile was seized vide Panchnama at Exhibit 28. The said mobile was produced by witness Mrugaben Luhar, who is sister of the complainant. Learned advocate for the applicant seeks time to produce charge sheet papers.

Learned APP Mr. K. L. Pandya wants time to verify as to

whether the State Government has challenged the impugned order or not. Time is granted. Stand over to **27.03.2019**.

DRASHTI K. SHUKLA

(V. P. PATEL,J)