

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD
R/FIRST APPEAL NO. 3874 of 2001
With
R/CROSS OBJECTION NO. 105 of 2002
In
R/FIRST APPEAL NO. 3874 of 2001

=====

SURAT MUNICIPAL CORPORATION
 Versus
 SAKARLAL BHAGWANDAS & ORS.

=====

Appearance:

MR KAUSHAL D PANDYA(2905) for the Appellant(s) No. 1
 DECEASED LITIGANT THROUGH LEGAL HEIRS/ REPRESENTATIVES
 for the Defendant(s) No. 2,7.5,7.5.5,7.5.6,7.6
 GOVERNMENT PLEADER for the Defendant(s) No. 8
 JENIL M SHAH(7840) for the Defendant(s) No.
 1,3,4,5,6,7.1,7.2,7.3,7.4,7.5.1,7.5.2,7.5.3,7.5.4,7.5.5.1,7.5.6.1,7.5.6.
 2,7.5.7,7.6.1,7.6.2,7.6.3

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE J. C. DOSHI

Date : 25/03/2026
ORDER

1. Without understanding the order dated 11.03.2026 and what the Court is conveying by that order, the Deputy Section Officer, Section Officer, Assistant Registrar and Deputy Registrar of the First Appeal Department filed the Office note in a transigent manner, which is wholly unacceptable and at first instance, appears to be insubordination.

2. By the order dated 11.03.2026, the Court conveyed to the Registry that the respondent has filed the cross-objection and Mr. Jenil M. Shah is appearing for the respondent. In cross-objection, the respondent is the appellant, and therefore, the entry has to be accordingly made and the name of the learned advocate has to be shown in that manner, but in usual stead-fast manner, the First Appeal Department, by way of Office note, tried to convey some different message.

3. This Court does not find the approach of the First Appeal Department to be fit.

4. The issue is flagged with the Registrar Judicial as well as the Registrar General to take the necessary action and to report back to this Court.

5. Stand over to **01.04.2026**.

Raj

(J.C. DOSHI, J.)