

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD**R/CRIMINAL MISC. APPLICATION (FOR ANTICIPATORY
BAIL) NO. 9390 of 2026**

=====

**AJAY SODANSING YADAV @ BHARAT SUDANSINH YADAV
& ANR.**

**Versus
STATE OF GUJARAT**

=====

Appearance:

MR MB RANA(2760) for the Applicant(s) No. 1,2

MR. RAHUL A. RAJPUT(18193) for the Applicant(s) No. 1

MR BHARGAV PANDYA, APP for the Respondent(s) No. 1

=====

CORAM:HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE P. M. RAVAL

Date : 01/05/2026

ORAL ORDER

1. By way of the present application under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (for short "BNSS"), the applicants have prayed for anticipatory bail in the event of arrest in connection with the FIR being C.R. No. 11216010260134 of 2026 registered with Pethapur Police Station, District: Gandhinagar, for the offences punishable under Sections 115(2), 352, 351(2), 54 and 140(3) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 (BNS) and Section 135 of the Gujarat Police Act.

2. At the outset, the learned advocate for the applicants would

submit that the offences alleged in the impugned FIR are the one in which maximum punishment prescribed for is upto 7 years. Accordingly, on instructions, he states that the applicants are ready and willing to join the investigation and will appear before the investigating officer as per direction of this Court.

3. Considering the above, the applicants are hereby directed to remain present before the Investigating Officer concerned on **05.05.2026** and co-operate with the investigation and if at all the Investigating Officer deems it fit to arrest the applicants, a 7 days' prior notice shall be given to them. Further, the Investigating Officer is directed to scrupulously follow the proposition of law as settled by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the cases of *Arnesh Kumar v. State of Bihar, reported in (2014) 8 SCC 273*, *Satender Kumar Antil v. Central Bureau of Investigation & Anr., reported in (2022) 10 SCC 51* and in *Md. Asfak Alam v. State of Jharkhand & Anr., reported in 2023 SCC OnLine SC 892* and also inform about the grounds of arrest, if needed, to learned Magistrate and even the learned Magistrate before mechanically authorizing the detention of the applicants, shall follow the *dictum* of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the aforesaid decisions.

4. With aforesaid directions, this application stands disposed of. **Direct service** is permitted.

[P. M. Raval, J.]