

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD**R/CRIMINAL MISC.APPLICATION (FOR CONSENT QUASHING) NO. 9394
of 2026**

=====

BHARGAV BIKHABHAI DABHI
Versus
STATE OF GUJARAT & ANR.

=====

Appearance:

MR. JAY M SUMARA(18308) for the Applicant(s) No. 1
MS. YUKTA M. SUMARA(18310) for the Applicant(s) No. 1
MR. ADITYA JADEJA, APP for the Respondent(s) No. 1

=====

CORAM:HONOURABLE MS. JUSTICE S.V. PINTO**Date : 23/04/2026****ORAL ORDER**

Learned advocate Ms. Nehal Jani submits that she has instructions to appear on behalf of the respondent no. 2 and seeks permission to file her appearance as well the affidavit of the original complainant - respondent no. 2.

Registry to accept the same.

Both the learned advocates jointly submit that the matter has been amicably settled between the parties and the respondent no. 2 – original complainant has no objection if the First Information Report is quashed and the respondent no. 2 – original complainant intends to file an affidavit to that effect.

When the matter is called out, the respondent no. 2 -

original complainant – Sunil @ Sunami s/o Rameshbhai Parmar is present in-person before this Court and submits that the matter is amicably settled and he has entered into a compromise without any threats or coercion.

Learned advocate for the respondent no. 2 – original complainant requests that the presence of the respondent no. 2 – original complainant may be dispensed with on the next date of hearing. Accordingly, the presence of the complainant is dispensed with.

List the matter on 29.04.2026.

VASIM S. SAIYED

(S. V. PINTO,J)