

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD**R/SPECIAL CRIMINAL APPLICATION (DIRECTION - TO LODGE
FIR/COMPLAINT) NO. 6363 of 2025**

=====

JASIBEN @ JASHODABEN NARENDRABHAI CHAUHAN
Versus
STATE OF GUJARAT & ORS.

=====

Appearance:

MR PRADIP J PATEL(5896) for the Applicant(s) No. 1
MS DHVANI TRIPATHI, APP for the Respondent(s) No. 1

=====

CORAM:HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE HASMUKH D. SUTHAR

Date : 07/07/2025

ORAL ORDER

1. RULE. Learned APP waives service of notice of Rule for and on behalf of the respondent – State of Gujarat.

2. By way of present petition, the petitioner prays for direction directing the Respondent authorities to lodge the complaint and take action against respondent No.4 pursuant to to the written application dated 25.02.2025.

3. Learned advocate for the petitioner has submitted that earlier also, the petitioner had approached this Court by preferring Special Criminal Application No.15379/2024, wherein, following order came to be passed on 28.11.2024:-

“Considering the averments made in this petition/application, the concerned authorities shall look into the matter and decide the application/s / representation/s dated 3.10.2024 made by the applicant/s in accordance with law within a period of four weeks from today and communicate the same to the applicant/s within a period of two weeks thereafter.”

4. Pursuant to the said order, Police Inspector, Shahera Police Station, Dist. Panchmahal has informed the petitioner vide communication dated 31.12.2024 that due to non-availability of old revenue record and

incomplete hazy facts, they could not provide any information as sought for and could not investigate the offence.

5. In view of the above, respondent No.3 Police Inspector, Shahera Police Station shall look into the matter and inform about the outcome to the petitioner preferably within a period of four weeks from today. If any adverse outcome is there, the petitioner is at liberty to file appropriate proceedings before appropriate forum.

6. It is hereby made clear that this Court has not examined the merits of the case. Direct service is permitted.

(HASMUKH D. SUTHAR,J)

SUCHIT