

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD**R/CRIMINAL MISC.APPLICATION (FOR SUCCESSIVE REGULAR BAIL -
AFTER CHARGESHEET) NO. 8667 of 2026**

=====

JOGINDERSING @ KABIRSING SANTOKSING SIKLIGAR (BHOND)
Versus
STATE OF GUJARAT

=====

Appearance:

ADILHUSHAIN M SAIYED(9723) for the Applicant(s) No. 1
MS. MAITHILI MEHTA, APP for the Respondent(s) No. 1

=====

CORAM:HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE M. R. MENGDEY

Date : 08/05/2026

ORDER

1. The applicant has filed this application under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 for enlarging the applicant on regular bail in connection with FIR being CR. No.11196002250151 of 2025 registered with Bapod Police Station, Vadodara City for the offences punishable under Sections 3(1), 3(2), 3(4), 3(5) of the GUJCTOC Act.

2. Learned Advocate appearing for the applicant submitted that the applicant has been arrested in connection with the present offence on 05.03.2025 and since then he is in custody. He further submitted that till date, the charge has also not been framed before the learned Trial Court. All other co-accused who have also number of antecedents, have been considered for grant of bail by this Court. He, therefore, submitted to allow the present application and enlarge the applicant on bail subject to suitable conditions.

3. Learned APP has opposed the present application contending that the

applicant is the leader of the syndicate and there are total 69 antecedents have been registered against the applicant which all are of similar nature. He, therefore, submitted to dismiss the application.

4. Heard learned Advocates for the parties. The applicant had earlier preferred Criminal Misc. Application No.19383 of 2025 which was allowed to be withdrawn by this Court vide order dated 27.11.2025. Thereafter, the present successive application has been preferred by the applicant. The present applicant is leader of the syndicate which was involved in commission of the serious offences. The applicant is having 69 other antecedents, out of which 54 antecedents have been considered for invocation of provisions of the GUJCTOC Act against the petitioner. So far as the aspect of release of the other co-accused is concerned, the number of antecedents registered against them, are lesser in nature and they were merely the members of the syndicate. Having regard to these aspects, no case is made out. Hence, the present petition is hereby dismissed.

RAVI OZA

(M. R. MENGDEY,J)