

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

**R/CRIMINAL MISC.APPLICATION (FOR REGULAR BAIL - AFTER
CHARGESHEET) NO. 7150 of 2026**

=====

GHANSHYAM ASHOKBHAI PARMAR
Versus
STATE OF GUJARAT

=====

Appearance:
SWAPNESHWAR GOUTAM(9051) for the Applicant(s) No. 1
MR.SOAHAM JOSHI, APP for the Respondent(s) No. 1

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE UTKARSH THAKORBHAI
DESAI**

Date : 05/05/2026

ORAL ORDER

1. Present bail application is filed under Section 483 of the BNSS, 2023, by the applicant praying for regular bail, who is shown as accused no.2 in the FIR as well as in the charge-sheet, registered vide FIR No.11204025260013 of 2026, who came to be arrested by Kheda Town Police Station on 09.01.2026, for the offenses alleged to have been committed under Sections 3 and 4(3) of the Gujarat Land Grabbing (Prohibition) Act, 2020.

2. The investigation is completed and charge-sheet has been filed.

3. As per the prosecution case, the applicant and other three co-accused are having agricultural land adjacent to the land of the original complainant. However, as per the say of the original complainant, the applicant and the other three co-accused were preventing him from entering into his land by threatening him, saying that, the land of the original complainant was of their ownership, and if the original complainant would enter into his agricultural land, the applicant and the other three co-accused would file an atrocity case against him.

4. The original complainant was thus compelled to file an application under the Gujarat Land Grabbing (Prohibition) Act, 2020.

5. Heard Mr.Swapneshwar Goutam learned advocate for the applicant and Mr.Soaham Joshi learned APP for the respondent – State.

6. The concerned Committee of District Collectorate found substance in the said application and had ordered

an FIR to be registered against the applicant and the other three co-accused. Thus, the FIR in question.

7. It is pertinent to observe that, the applicant and the other two co-accused, Ravikant and Rakesh had preferred regular bail before the learned Sessions Judge of Kheda at Nadiad vide Criminal Misc. Application No.53 of 2026, wherein, the learned Sessions Judge, in his order had observed that, the report of the Mamlatdar of Kheda revealed that the applicant and the other co-accused had threatened the original complainant by abusing him, and had also threatened him with filing of an atrocity case against him. The said report also revealed that, the applicant had hit his own head with a stone and had also disrupted the government servant from performing his duty. It is also revealed that, the applicant and the co-accused had illegally entered into the agricultural land of the original complainant.

8. In the event, considering the aforesaid facts, it does

not deem fit to this Court to exercise discretion in favour of the applicant and thus, the present application is hereby rejected.

ANKIT SHAH

(UTKARSH THAKORBHAI DESAI, J)