

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD
R/SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 4779 of 2026**

With

**CIVIL APPLICATION (FOR JOINING PARTY) NO. 1 of 2026
In R/SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 4779 of 2026**

=====

**ATUL GAURAV S/O. SHRI ARVIND KUMAR SINHA AND SHRIMATI
NEELAM SINHA**

Versus

UNIVERSITY GRANTS COMMISSION(UGC) & ORS.

=====

Appearance:

PARTY IN PERSON(5000) for the Petitioner(s) No. 1

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE NIRZAR S. DESAI

Date : 10/04/2026

COMMON ORAL ORDER

1. Today, learned Advocate, Mr. Udit Vyas, appearing on behalf of Respondent No.3-University tendered a photocopy of the e-mail dated 09.04.2026, which reads as under;

“ ...

1. *The main prayer of the student is to conduct a special mid term exam for him. We have not allowed a student to appear for the Mid Term I exam as his attendance was very poor at that time, but, the fact is that mid term semester is having only 15% weightage of the total semester result. He is permitted to appear in Mid Term II and will be allowed to appear for the final exam as well. Thus University is not stopping his progress to next semester. As part of the continuous evaluation, he was not allowed to sit in the midterm I exam as hi attendance was way low.*

2. *Other pointwise data is given in the reply attached*

herewith. ”

1.2 Aforesaid e-mail would indicate that the petitioner-student, who appeared before this Court as party-in-person, was not allowed to appear in Mid-Term- 1 examination on account of his lower attendance and the same carries 15% weightage, which the petitioner will not be in a position to avail.

1.3 Today, though, the party-in-person has shown unwillingness to accept the aforesaid proposal and with a view to give one more opportunity to the petitioner-party-in-person to think over the proposal put forward by Respondent No.3-University, list this matter for hearing on 16.04.2026, as the Mid-Term-II examination are commencing from 20.04.2026.

1.4 By that time, petitioner-party-in-person is **directed** to make his stand clear, as to whether, he wants to appear in Mid-Term-II examination, which is commencing from 20.04.2026. In case, if, no affidavit is filed by the petitioner-party-in-person by the next date of hearing, it shall be construed that the petitioner is not agreeable to the proposal given by the Respondent No.3-University.

1.5 Learned Advocate, Mr. Vyas, appearing for Respondent No.3-University also stated that the Respondent No.3-University also intends to file reply on merits, as the

aforesaid e-mail which is produced on the record today is merely instructions received by the learned Advocate, Mr. Vyas, only by considering the case of the petitioner-party-in-person on humanitarian grounds.

1.6 S.O. to **16th April, 2026**. To be listed on the top of the Board.

UMESH/-

(NIRZAR S. DESAI,J)