

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD**R/CRIMINAL MISC.APPLICATION (FOR SUCCESSIVE REGULAR BAIL -
AFTER CHARGESHEET) NO. 7229 of 2026**

=====

SANJAYBHAI DHULABHAI DAYMA
Versus
STATE OF GUJARAT

=====

Appearance:
MR. ABHISHEK A JOSHI(6768) for the Applicant(s) No. 1
MR JK SHAH, ADDL. PUBLIC PROSECUTOR for the Respondent(s) No. 1

=====

CORAM:HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE NIKHIL S. KARIEL

Date : 06/04/2026

ORAL ORDER

1. Heard learned advocate MrAbhishek Joshi appearing on behalf of the applicant, learned Additional Public Prosecutor Mr.J.K.Shah appearing on behalf of the respondent-State.
2. **Rule.** Learned APP waives service of rule on behalf of the respondent-State.
3. The applicant has filed this application under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 for enlarging the applicant on Regular Bail in connection with FIR being C.R. No. 11188004250668/2025 registered with Dhansura Police Station, Aravalli for the offence punishable under Sections 3(5), 61, 238, 310(2), 333 and 127(2) of the BNS and Section 135 of the G.P.Act.

4. Learned advocate for the applicant would submit that considering the role attributed to the applicant, and nature of the allegation levelled, the applicant may be enlarged on regular bail. It is further submitted that since the charge-sheet is filed no useful purpose would be served by keeping the applicant in jail for indefinite period. It is further contended that the applicant is ready and willing to abide by all the conditions that may be imposed by this Court if released on bail.

5. As against the same, learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the respondent - State has vehemently objected to the grant of regular bail. Learned APP has submitted that looking to the nature of offence and the role attributed to the present applicant as coming out from the charge-sheet, this Court may not exercise the discretion in favour of the applicant and the application may be dismissed.

6. I have heard learned advocates appearing on behalf of the respective parties and perused the papers. Following aspects are considered:-

i. The allegation that the accused had committed dacoity and

had taken goods and material worth around Rs.7,00,000/- from the house of the complainant.

ii. The fact of the present applicant having been identified in the CCTV footage.

iii. The fact of some of the monies also being recovered from the present applicant.

iv. Having noticed as above, though prima facie it appears that the present applicant was a part of the group more particularly the applicant having been identified by the complainant and other witnesses, yet, having considering the fact that the present applicant is aged around 26 years, more particularly, without any antecedent, in custody since 08.10.2025 and the charge-sheet having been filed, this Court is inclined to consider this application albeit with appropriate safeguards.

This Court has taken into consideration the law laid down by the Hon'ble Apex Court in the case of ***Sanjay Chandra v. Central Bureau of Investigation*** reported in ***[2012] 1 SCC 40***.

7. In the facts and circumstances of the case and considering the nature of the allegations made against the

applicant in the First Information Report, without discussing the evidence in detail, prima facie, this Court is of the opinion that this is a fit case to exercise the discretion and enlarge the applicant on regular bail.

8. Hence, the present application is allowed. The applicant is ordered to be released on bail in connection with F.I.R. registered as C.R. No. 11188004250668/2025 registered with Dhansura Police Station, Aravalli, on executing a bond of Rs.25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only) with one surety of the like amount to the satisfaction of the trial Court and subject to the conditions that he shall;

[a] not take undue advantage of liberty or misuse liberty;

[b] not act in a manner injurious to the interest of the prosecution;

[c] surrender passport, if any, to the lower court within a week;

[d] not leave the State of Gujarat without prior permission of the Sessions Court concerned;

[e] furnish the present address of residence to the I.O. and also to the Court at the time of execution of the bond and shall not change the residence without prior intimation to the I.O.;

[f] mark presence once a week for a period of six months and thereafter once a fortnight for a period of six months before the concerned police station.

[g] not to enter Taluka:Dhansura for a period of six months from the date of release, except for the purpose of marking presence.

9. The Authorities will release the applicants only if he is not required in connection with any other offence for the time being. If breach of any of the above conditions is committed, the Sessions Court concerned will be free to take appropriate action in the matter.

10. Bail bond to be executed before the lower court having jurisdiction to try the case. It will be open for the concerned Court to delete, modify and/or relax any of the above conditions in accordance with law.

11. At the stage of trial, the trial court shall not be influenced by any observations of this Court which are of preliminary nature made at this stage, only for the purpose of considering the application of the applicant for being released on regular bail.

12. The application is allowed in the aforesaid terms. Rule is made absolute to the aforesaid extent. Direct service is permitted.

Bhoomi

(NIKHIL S. KARIEL,J)