

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD**R/SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 3970 of 2026**

=====

NAGESH CORPORATION

Versus

GUJARAT URBAN DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT & ORS.

=====

Appearance:

MR HARSHIT TOLIA SENIOR ADVOCATE with MS. HARSHVI H. TOLIA(18867)
for the Petitioner(s) No. 1MR VENUGOPAL PATEL ASSISTANT GOVERNMENT PLEADER for the
Respondent(s) No. 1,4,5

MR DEEPAK P SANCHELA(2696) for the Respondent(s) No. 2,3

=====

CORAM:HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE A.S. SUPEHIA***and******HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRANAV TRIVEDI*****Date : 24/03/2026****ORAL ORDER*****(PER : HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE A.S. SUPEHIA)***

After the matter was heard considerably and in wake of the fact that the learned advocate Mr. Sanchela appearing for the respondent nos. 2 and 3 having vehemently opposed the writ petition has submitted that he would be unable to give consent to extend the statement which is recorded in the order dated 18.03.2026. However, this Court is also not inclined to grant any interim order in wake of the fact that the validity of the original tender process has already expired.

At this stage, learned Senior Advocate Mr. Harshit Tolia assisted by learned advocate Ms. Harshivi Tolia appearing for the petitioner has insisted that respondent no. 5 should file an affidavit-in-reply explaining his order dated 27.02.2026 relating to the validity of the Tender no. 173716 dated 09.04.2025 which expired on 09.08.2025. Thus, on the insistence of learned Senior Advocate Mr. Harshit Tolia, we direct the respondent no. 5 to file an appropriate affidavit-in-reply explaining the order dated

27.02.2026. The same shall be filed by the next date of hearing. We relieve learned Advocate Mr. Sanchela from his statement recorded in the order dated 18.03.2026.

List the matter on **09.04.2026**.

(A. S. SUPEHIA, J)

(PRANAV TRIVEDI, J)

phalguni/24 (Mention Board)