

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

R/FIRST APPEAL NO. 531 of 1986
With
CIVIL APPLICATION (FOR AMENDMENT) NO. 1 of 2025
In R/FIRST APPEAL NO. 531 of 1986
With
R/FIRST APPEAL NO. 1093 of 1987

=====

HOUSE OF DUBARY & ORS.

Versus

THE OFFICER LIQUIDATOR OF HANSPA KNIT (P) LTD., & ORS.

=====

Appearance:

MR AMAN MIR(10881) for the Appellant(s) No. 2
MR C B UPADHYAYA(3508) for the Appellant(s) No. 3,4
MR ND NANAVATI(507) for the Appellant(s) No. 1
MR ABHIJIT P JOSHI(1330) for the Defendant(s) No. 1
MR PRANAV G DESAI(290) for the Defendant(s) No. 6
MR SH SANJANWALA(729) for the Defendant(s) No. 7
MS PJ DAVAWALA(240) for the Defendant(s) No. 1
NOTICE NOT RECD BACK for the Defendant(s) No. 2,3
NOTICE SERVED for the Defendant(s) No. 4,5,8

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MS. JUSTICE SANGEETA K. VISHEN
and
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MOOL CHAND TYAGI

Date : 20/08/2025
ORAL ORDER
(PER : HONOURABLE MS. JUSTICE SANGEETA K. VISHEN)

1. Mr C. B. Upadhyaya, learned advocate submitted that civil application no.1 of 2025 seeking amendment is under office objections. It is submitted that the Registry is insisting for seal of the company which, is now difficult. So far as other objections i.e. no objection of the learned advocate and cause title of the civil application are concerned, necessary steps shall be taken. Request is made for some time so as to take steps in furtherance of removal of office objections in civil application (for Amendment) no.1 of 2025.

2. On the other hand, Mr Aman Mir, learned advocate states that

he would like to file the reply to civil application no.1 of 2025. It is submitted that as the client is residing abroad, some longer time be granted so as to enable him to file the reply.

3. List the matter on 03.09.2025.

(SANGEETA K. VISHEN,J)

RAVI P. PATEL

(MOOL CHAND TYAGI, J)