

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD**R/SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 3595 of 2025**

=====

M/S KUSH GARMENTS
Versus
RESERVE BANK OF INDIA & ANR.

=====

Appearance:

MR PRUTHVIRAJ Y GOHIL(13166) for the Petitioner(s) No. 1

MR AMAR N BHATT(160) for the Respondent(s) No. 1

MR NEERAJ J VASU(3159) for the Respondent(s) No. 2

=====

CORAM:HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE NIRAL R. MEHTA

Date : 21/07/2025

ORAL ORDER

1. By way of this petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, the petitioner has approached this Court for the following reliefs :

“A. This Hon’ble Court may kindly be pleased to admit and allow this petition;

B. This Hon’ble Court may kindly be pleased to direct respondent No.2 to unfreeze petitioner’s bank account bearing No.314301010036794 maintained with respondent No.2; Annexure-C.

C. Pending hearing of the said application, this Hon’ble Court may kindly be pleased to direct respondent No.2 to for unfreezing petitioner’s bank account bearing No.314301010036794 maintained with respondent No.2; Annexure-C.

D. allow the petitioner to operate its bank account maintained with respondent No.2, pending hearing and final disposal of this petition.

E. grant ex-parte ad-interim relief in terms of prayer clause (c) above;

F. This Hon'ble Court may kindly be pleased to pass any other and further order that may be deemed fit in the interest of justice may kindly be passed;"

2. Heard Mr.Pruthviraj Gohil learned advocate for the petitioner, Mr.Amar Bhatt learned advocate for the respondent No.1 and Mr.Priyank Shah learned advocate for Mr.Neeraj Vasu learned advocate for respondent No.2.

3. Today, during the course of hearing, Mr.Pruthviraj Gohil learned advocate for the petitioner has tendered affidavit duly affirmed by the petitioner stating, inter alia, that out of total available balance, if lien is marked on the disputed amount i.e. Rs.70,000/-, in that event, he has no objection. However, the learned advocate for the petitioner requested that except the amount of Rs.70,000/-, his bank account may if allowed to be operated, then the interest of justice would be subserve.

4. Mr.Priyank Shah learned advocate for the respondent No.2 has got no objection.

5. Accordingly, the present petition is disposed of, with a

direction that the respondent No.2 bank shall de-freeze the bank account of the petitioner bearing No.314301010036794 maintained with it; however, shall have marked lien over the amount of Rs.70,000/- which is disputed. The respondent No.2 bank shall also allow the petitioner to operate its account regularly. Notice is discharged.

V.J. SATWARA

(NIRAL R. MEHTA,J)

Original copy of this order has been signed by the Hon'ble Judge.
Digitally signed by: VIPUL JASWANTBHAI SATWARA(HC00170), PRINCIPAL PRIVATE SECRETARY, at High Court of Gujarat on 22/07/2025 17:43:04