

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD**R/SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 3345 of 2026**

=====

DILIPBHAI CHHOTALAL MORZARIYA & ORS.**Versus****STATE OF GUJARAT & ORS.**

=====

Appearance:**MR PRATIK JASANI for MR. DHAIRYA D. MAMTORA(18281) for the
Petitioner(s) No. 1,2,3,4,5,6,7****MS POOJA CHOUDHARY ASST. GOVERNMENT PLEADER for the Respondent(s)
No. 1****MR AR THACKER(888) for the Respondent(s) No. 4****MS SEJAL K MANDAVIA(436) for the Respondent(s) No. 2,3**

=====

CORAM:HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE NIKHIL S. KARIEL**Date : 28/07/2026****ORAL ORDER**

1. Heard learned advocate Mr. Pratik Jasani with learned advocate Mr. Dhairya Mamtora for the petitioners, learned AGP Mr.Pooja Choudhary for the respondent - State, learned advocate Mr. Sejal Mandavia for respondent Nos.2 and 3 and learned advocate Mr. A.R.Thacker for respondent No.4.

2. The limited intervention of this Court had been sought for by the petitioners insofar as land bearing Final Plot No.88, in Town Planning Scheme No.3A (JADA), Jamnagar, which was reserved for public garden yet, was being used as temporary dumping yard by the Corporation to dump garbage. Upon notice

being issued, learned advocate Ms. Mandavia has filed reply on behalf of the Corporation and whereas, it would *prima facie* appear that the Corporation, has identified a specific place, for dumping of the garbage and whereas the same has been approved by the State and appropriate surcharge has also been deposited by the Corporation with the State authorities. It also appears that the land, is now no more being used for dumping of garbage and whereas it also appears that the land has now been restored to its original position, which aspect is being acknowledged by learned advocate MR. Jasani under instruction. Learned advocate Ms. Mandavia under instructions would further submit that the land will be used for garden purposes, more particularly plantation activity would be carried out during the present monsoon season.

3. Under such circumstances, to this Court nothing further is required to be done except direct the Corporation to comply with the statement made by their learned counsel before this Court.

4. With these observation, the present petition stands disposed of as allowed.

(NIKHIL S. KARIEL,J)

NAIR SMITA V./22-DB