

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION No 4546 of 2001

For Approval and Signature:

Hon'ble MR.JUSTICE M.S.SHAH

=====

1. Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgements? : NO
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not? : NO
3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the judgement? : NO
4. Whether this case involves a substantial question of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution of India, 1950 of any Order made thereunder? : NO
5. Whether it is to be circulated to the Civil Judge? : NO

-----  
ABDUL REHMAN MOHD.HUSSAIN      TAPALI

Versus

STATE OF GUJARAT  
-----

Appearance:

1. Special Civil Application No. 4546 of 2001  
MR MI HAVA for Petitioner No. 1-4  
MR MANISH DAGLI, AGP for Respondent No. 1  
RULE NOT RECD BACK for Respondent No. 2
- 

CORAM : MR.JUSTICE M.S.SHAH

Date of decision: 08/05/2002

ORAL JUDGEMENT

Mr Manish Dagli, learned counsel for respondent No. 1 states that the petitioners have challenged the order dated 29.3.2001 passed by the Collector, Surat under sub-rule (6) of Rule 108 of the Bombay Land Revenue Rules pertaining to mutation of entries, but the petitioners have an equally efficacious alternative remedy of a revision before the State Government against

the order of the Collector available under sub-rule (6-A) of Rule 108 of the aforesaid Rules.

2. In view of the above, the petition is disposed of on the ground that the petitioners have an equally efficacious alternative remedy of preferring a revision before the State Government under sub-rule (6-A) of Rule 108 of the Bombay Land Revenue Rules, 1921.

Rule is discharged. Ad-interim relief stands vacated. However, in order to enable the petitioners to avail of the alternative remedy of a revision as aforesaid, the ad-interim relief granted earlier shall continue till 15.6.2002.

(M.S. Shah, J.)

sundar/-