

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

**R/CRIMINAL REVISION APPLICATION (AGAINST ORDER
PASSED BY SUBORDINATE COURT) NO. 489 of 2026**

=====

ARUNABEN W/O KESHABHAI VANJARA D/O LAKHUBHAI
MARU
Versus
STATE OF GUJARAT & ANR.

=====

Appearance:

MR ABHISHEK R SHARMA(10751) for the Applicant(s) No. 1
MR VIMAL A PUROHIT(5049) for the Applicant(s) No. 1
MR ROHAN RAVAL, APP for the Respondent(s) No. 1

=====

CORAM:HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE P. M. RAVAL

Date : 24/02/2026

ORAL ORDER

Heard, learned advocate for the applicant.

Notice, returnable on **24th April 2026**. Learned Additional
Public Prosecutor waives service for the respondent – State.

Considering the averments made in the complaint against
the present applicant and also considering the fact that the alleged
incident is dated 19.03.2024 whereas, the complaint is filed on
25.04.2024 on the ground that the accused persons are highhanded

persons and except that, there is no other reason, more particularly, what abusive words were used is also not coming on record, nor, anything is pointed out to show that because of such abusive words, it would provoke to cause breach of peace or to commit any other offence so as to attract Section 504 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (IPC). It is also required to be noted that as far as threat to the complainant is concerned, the offence of criminal intimidation is attracted only when the accused had an intention to cause alarm to the complainant. Therefore, merely threat given by the accused without any intention to cause alarm to the complainant would not constitute an offence of criminal intimidation. As far as Section 323 of the IPC is concerned, not a single averment has been made against the accused. In view of the same, **the proceedings in Criminal Case No. 2387 of 2024 pending before the learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Porbandar are stayed *qua* the present applicant only.**

Direct service is permitted.

[P. M. Raval, J.]

hiren/SB/1/9tss24226