

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD**R/SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 2381 of 2026****SUNITABEN CHETANKUMAR PRAJAPATI****Versus****STATE OF GUJARAT & ANR.****Appearance:****MR PRADEEP PATEL(642) for the Petitioner(s) No. 1****GOVERNMENT PLEADER for the Respondent(s) No. 1,2****CORAM:HONOURABLE MS. JUSTICE VAIBHAVI D. NANAVATI****Date : 24/02/2026****ORAL ORDER**

1. Heard Mr. Pradeep Patel, learned advocate appearing for the petitioner.

2. By way of the present petition, petitioner herein has challenged the impugned notice dated 20.12.2025 (Annexure-A) issued by the Collector, Vadodara invoking the suo-motu powers under Rule-108 (6) of the Gujarat Land Revenue Rules, 1972 for initial transaction dated 09.09.1974, for which the revenue entry was mutated in the revenue record being entry no. 3430 and subsequent entry no. 9676 dated 12.07.2013 and others have been mutated, without prior permission of the competent authority. It is

submitted that, the impugned notice dated 20.12.2025 is issued, after the order passed by this Court in Special Civil Application No. 10652 of 2025 with Special Civil Application No. 10832 of 2025 dated 16.12.2025, wherein, the order of non-grant of Non-Agriculture use permission, upon an application preferred by the petitioner for N.A. use was quashed and set aside.

3. In the said petition, the Court has specifically mentioned that, if the land belongs to the Village Panch, then the steps would be taken in accordance with law. In view thereof, the impugned notice is issued to the petitioner and predecessor-in-title of the petitioner.

4. Issue **NOTICE** returnable on **24.03.2026**. Ms. Devanshiba Rana, learned AGP waives service of notice on behalf of the respondent no.1.

5. In the interregnum period, the impugned notice dated 20.12.2025 (Annexure-A) is directed to be stayed, till further orders.

Direct service is permitted qua respondent no.2.

(VAIBHAVI D. NANAVATI,J)

Pradhyuman