

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD**R/SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 2335 of 2026****With****CIVIL APPLICATION (FOR STAY) NO. 1 of 2026****In R/SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 2335 of 2026**=====
MR. BHARAT MOHANBHAI PARMAR & ANR.**Versus****CENTRAL BANK OF INDIA & ANR.**
=====

Appearance:

MR DARSHAK N CHHAYA(12526) for the Petitioner(s) No. 1,2

MR RITURAJ M MEENA(3224) for the Respondent(s) No. 1
=====

CORAM:HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE NIKHIL S. KARIEL**Date : 18/08/2026****ORAL ORDER**

1. Heard learned Advocate Mr. Darshak Chhaya on behalf of the petitioners and learned Advocate Mr. Rituraj Meena on behalf of respondent no.1- bank.

2. Learned Advocate Mr. Rituraj Meena under instructions would submit that the petitioners having paid entire dues, the possession of the property would be restored to the petitioners within a period of one week from today. Considering such a statement to this Court nothing further is required to be done.

3. Learned Advocate Mr. Chhaya would submit that there is some dispute with regard to the amount already paid. To this Court it would appear that for such disputes the petitioners ought to have approached the DRT and whereas the High Court exercising jurisdiction under Article 226 would not entertain such dispute when the petitioners have a remedy.

5. Having regard to the above observation, both the petition and the Civil Application stand disposed of. Liberty is reserved in favour of the petitioners to approach this Court in case of difficulty if the possession is not restored within a period of one week.

NIRU

(NIKHIL S. KARIEL,J)