

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD**R/MISC. CIVIL APPLICATION (FOR CONTEMPT) NO. 255 of 2023**

=====

VALIBHAI JAMALBHAI HALARI

Versus

ABHAYSINGH RANVIRSINGH, CGST INSPECTOR & ORS.

=====

Appearance:

MR AJ YAGNIK(1372) for the Applicant(s) No. 1

MR PY DIVYESHVAR(2482) for the Opponent(s) No. 1,2,3

=====

CORAM: ADDITIONAL REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)**Date : 08/04/2025****ORAL ORDER**

1. Perused the withdrawal of appearance note dated 02.04.2025 addressed to the office filed by learned advocate Mr. P. Y. Divyeshvar for the Respondent Nos. 1 to 3 and the office note dated 04.04.2025 pertaining to the same.
2. It prima facie appears that the aforesaid withdrawal of appearance note is neither annexed with any communication to Respondent Nos. 1 to 3 and RPAD slip nor annexed with any acknowledgement by Respondent Nos. 1 to 3 of receipt of communication pertaining to the retirement notice/online consignment tracking report. Moreover, there is nothing on record to show by way of communication/letter that the client(s) has/have intimated the learned advocate that clients do not want to continue the learned advocate and are relieving the concerned advocate from the matter. Hence, the withdrawal of appearance note is not in

compliance with Rule 133 of the Gujarat High Court Rules, 1993.

3. In view of the aforesaid facts and circumstances, the withdrawal of appearance note dated 02.04.2025 filed by learned advocate Mr. P. Y. Divyeshvar for Respondent Nos. 1 to 3 and the office note dated 04.04.2025 are declined herewith and disposed of accordingly.

(P. S. DAVE)

ADDITIONAL REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)

RB Desai