

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD**R/SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 1022 of 2026**

=====

PRAVINBHAI NATHALAL CHOPADA
Versus
STATE OF GUJARAT & ORS.

=====

Appearance:

MR BHARAT T RAO(697) for the Petitioner(s) No. 1
MR NIKUNJ KANARA, AGP for the Respondent(s) No. 1,4
NOTICE SERVED BY DS for the Respondent(s) No. 2,3

=====

CORAM:HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE NIRZAR S. DESAI

Date : 08/06/2026

ORAL ORDER

1. Learned advocate Mr.Kartik Barot has instructions to appear on behalf of respondent nos.2 and 3 in the present petition. Registry to accept the Vakalatnama of Mr.Kartik Barot.

2. By way of present petition, the petitioner has prayed for the following reliefs:-

"25.

(A) Your Lordships be pleased to admit and allow this petition.

(B) To issue a writ of mandamus or a writ in the nature of mandamus or any other appropriate writ, order or direction, quashing and setting aside the order dated 18.12.2025 passed by Learned Board of Nominees, Mehsana below Ex.6 in Lavad Suit No.184/2025 (Annexure-L) and further be pleased to allow application Ex.6, for the reasons stated in the memo of petition and in the interest of justice;

(C) Pending admission, hearing and final disposal of this petition, to stay the execution, implementation and operation of the order dated 18.12.2025 passed by Learned Board of Nominees, Mehsana below Ex.6 in Lavad Suit No.184/2025 (Annexure-L) and stay further

*proceedings of Lavad Suit No.184/2025 pending on the file of Learned Board of Nominees, Mehsana for the reasons stated in the memo of petition and in the interest of justice;
(D) To grant ad-interim relief in terms of Para-25(C)."*

2. It is pointed out by Mr.Nikunj Kanara, learned AGP that, at the time when the petition was preferred the Cooperative Tribunal was not functioning, however, at present, after appointment of the Members of the Tribunal, the Tribunal is functional. Mr.Rao could not dispute the aforesaid aspect. He submits that in view of the fact that the Tribunal is now functional, the petitioner's application for stay may be decided within reasonable time.

3. In view of above innocuous request, the Tribunal is directed to decide the stay application preferred by the present petitioner in Revision Application No.55 of 2025 at the earliest, in any case within three months from the date of receipt of order of this Court.

4. In view of above, the petition is disposed of without expressing any opinion on merits of the matter. It is clarified that disposal of the present petition shall not come in the way of the petitioner in getting his matter considered on merits. Notice is discharged. No order as to costs.

R.S. MALEK

(NIRZAR S. DESAI,J)

Original copy of this order has been signed by the Hon'ble Judge.
Digitally signed by: RIYAZMIYA SABIRMIYA MALEK(HC00180), PRINCIPAL PRIVATE SECRETARY, at High Court of Gujarat on 09/06/2026 10:33:57