

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD**R/SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 448 of 2025**

=====

VANDANA VINAYKUMAR SINGH

Versus

KHAMBHAT NAGARPALIKA

=====

Appearance:

MR MITUL SHELAT for

MR HARSHADRAY A DAVE(3461) for the Petitioner(s) No. 1

=====

CORAM:HONOURABLE MRS. JUSTICE MAUNA M. BHATT

Date : 16/01/2025

ORAL ORDER

Heard learned advocate Mr.Mitul Shelat for the petitioner. Learned advocate submitted that General Board Resolution No.18 dated 26.11.2024 passed by respondent – Nagarpalika is bad in law because the petitioner was permitted to use the subject property as residential as well as non-residential, pursuant to order dated 17.10.2024. The order dated 17.10.2024 was passed upon an application of the petitioner dated 07.03.2024. The premises of the petitioner has been assessed and the taxes are being paid accordingly. Despite that, without providing any opportunity of being heard or without putting the petitioner to notice, the Resolution No.18 dated 26.11.2024 was passed wherein, the subject premises has been treated only as residential premises.

Considering the submissions, issue **Notice** returnable on
13.02.2025.

Direct service is permitted.

(MAUNA M. BHATT,J)

NAIR SMITA V./01

Original copy of this order has been signed by the Hon'ble Judge.
Digitally signed by: SMITA VINU NAIR(HC00186), PRINCIPAL PRIVATE SECRETARY, at High Court of Gujarat on 16/01/2025 15:48:30