

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD**R/CRIMINAL REVISION APPLICATION (AGAINST ACQUITTAL -
NEGOTIABLE INSTRUMENT ACT) NO. 14 of 2024**

=====

SURESHKUMAR VITTHALBHAI MEHTA

Versus

DHVANI KRUSHI BIO FERTILIZERS & ANR.

=====

Appearance:

PRATEEK S BHATIA(8629) for the Applicant(s) No. 1

PUBLIC PROSECUTOR for the Respondent(s) No. 2

=====

CORAM:ADDITIONAL REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)**Date : 08/05/2026****ORAL ORDER**

1. Perused the withdrawal of appearance note dated 24.03.2026 addressed to the office filed by learned advocate Mr. Prateek S. Bhatia for the Applicant and the office note dated 02.05.2026 pertaining to the same.
2. It prima facie appears that the aforesaid withdrawal of appearance note is neither annexed with any communication to Applicant and RPAD slip nor annexed with any acknowledgement by Applicant of receipt of communication pertaining to the retirement notice/online consignment tracking report. Moreover, there is nothing on record to show by way of communication/letter that the client(s) has/have intimated the learned advocate that

clients do not want to continue the learned advocate and are relieving the concerned advocate from the matter. Hence, the withdrawal of appearance note is not in compliance with Rule 133 of the Gujarat High Court Rules, 1993.

3. In view of the aforesaid facts and circumstances, the withdrawal of appearance note dated 24.03.2026 filed by learned advocate Mr. Prateek S. Bhatia for Applicant and the office note dated 02.05.2026 are declined herewith and disposed of accordingly.

(M. B. RATHORE)

ADDITIONAL REGISTRAR (JUDICIAL)

RB DESAI

Original copy of this order has been signed by the Hon'ble Judge.
Digitally signed by: RAJU BALDEVBHAI DESAI(HC01405), STENO GR II, at High Court of Gujarat on 08/05/2026 18:19:02