

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

R/SPECIAL CRIMINAL APPLICATION (QUASHING) NO. 807 of 2026

With
R/SPECIAL CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 808 of 2026
With
R/SPECIAL CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 809 of 2026
With
R/SPECIAL CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 810 of 2026
With
R/SPECIAL CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 812 of 2026
With
R/SPECIAL CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 813 of 2026
With
R/SPECIAL CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 815 of 2026
With
R/SPECIAL CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 816 of 2026
With
R/SPECIAL CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 817 of 2026
With
R/SPECIAL CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 819 of 2026
With
R/SPECIAL CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 820 of 2026
With
R/SPECIAL CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 821 of 2026
With
R/SPECIAL CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 822 of 2026
With
R/SPECIAL CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 823 of 2026
With
R/SPECIAL CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 824 of 2026
With
R/SPECIAL CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 825 of 2026
With
R/SPECIAL CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 826 of 2026
With
R/SPECIAL CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 827 of 2026
With
R/SPECIAL CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 828 of 2026
With
R/SPECIAL CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 829 of 2026
With
R/SPECIAL CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 830 of 2026
With
R/SPECIAL CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 832 of 2026
With
R/SPECIAL CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 833 of 2026
With
R/SPECIAL CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 835 of 2026
With
R/SPECIAL CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 837 of 2026
With

R/SPECIAL CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 838 of 2026
With
R/SPECIAL CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 847 of 2026
With
R/SPECIAL CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 863 of 2026
With
R/SPECIAL CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 865 of 2026

=====

ANKIT SUBHASCHANDRA AGRAWAL PARTNER OF GAYATRI DYE CHEM
Versus
STATE OF GUJARAT & ORS.

=====

Appearance:

MR DIPAK R DAVE(1232) for the Applicant(s) No. 1
MS JYOTI BHATT, APP for the Respondent(s) No. 5

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE M. R. MENGDEY

Date : 22/01/2026

COMMON ORAL ORDER

Leave to amend, to be carried out forthwith.

1. Learned advocate appearing for the petitioner submits that the factory of the petitioner was inspected by the Officers of the Department on 17.09.2025 and certain lacunas were found during the course of investigation. For each lacuna, a separate complaint has been preferred. He further submitted that the guidelines have been issued by the State Government in the year 1998 whereby, if the factory in question complies with the shortcomings found during the course of inspection within period of 1.5 months, the prosecution is not to be lodged. In the present case, the shortcomings which were notified by the inspecting authority have been duly complied with by the petitioner herein and therefore, no prosecution ought to have been lodged. He also submitted that no sanction under Section 105 of the Factories Act have been taken prior to the lodging of the complaints in question.

2. Having regard to these aspects, issue Notice returnable on 08.05.2026. Learned APP waives service of Notice on behalf of respondent – State.

3. Ad-Interim Relief in terms of Para-8(C) is granted till the next date.

AHS

(M. R. MENGDEY,J)