

GAHC040018382025



**THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT**  
**(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)**  
**(ITANAGAR BENCH)**

**Case No. : IA(C)/325/2025**

Dishing Tagi  
Age: 36  
Occupation :  
Address: Son of Late Begom Tagi  
resident of Village Ralung  
Po and PS Ruksin  
East Siang District  
Arunachal Pradesh. 791102

VERSUS

The State of AP and 4 Ors  
Age: 0  
Occupation :  
Address: represented by the Chief Secretary  
Govt of Arunachal Pradesh  
Itanagar.  
2: The Deputy Commissioner  
Age: 0  
Occupation :  
Address: East Siang District  
Arunachal Pradesh 791102  
  
3: The Superintendent of Police  
Age: 0  
Occupation :  
Address: East Siang District  
Arunachal Pradesh 791102  
  
4: The Additional Deputy Commissioner  
Age: 0  
Occupation :  
Address: Po and PS Ruksin  
East Siang District

Arunachal Pradesh 791102

5:M/s Aether Alloys LLP

Age: 0

Occupation :

Address:Office at Legi Building/ Complex

A Sector

Papum Pare District

Naharlagun

Arunachal Pradesh. 791110

-----

Advocate for : Signal Yirang

Advocate for : GA (AP) appearing for The State of AP and 4 Ors: Advocate ,

,

,

, appearing for 2,

3,

4,

5, respectively.

Linked Case : WP(C)/522/2025

Aether Alloys LLP

Office at Legi Building/ Complex, A Sector, Papum Pare District, Naharlagun 791110

Arunachal Pradesh represented by its authorized person Mr Niraj Sharma

VERSUS

The State of AP and 3 Ors

represented by the Chief Secretary, Arunachal Civil Secretariat, Itanagar, Arunachal Pradesh. 2:The Deputy Commissioner

Age: 0

Occupation :

Pasighat

East Siang District

Arunachal Pradesh.

3:The Superintendent of Police

Age: 0

Occupation :

Pasighat

East Siang District.

4:The Additional Deputy Commissioner  
Age: 0  
Occupation :  
Ruksin  
East Siang District  
Arunachal Pradesh

**Advocate for the Petitioner** : H K Jamoh, Eddie Payeng

**Advocate for the Respondent** : GA (AP),

**BEFORE  
HONOURABLE MRS. JUSTICE MARLI VANKUNG**

**ORDER**

**Date : 19.01.2026**

Heard Ms. Signal Yirang, learned counsel for the applicant, who has filed the application for being impleaded in the connected WP(C) No. 522(AP)/2025, by submitting that the applicant being a social activist is required to be a party in this instant connected writ petition.

Issue notice to the opposite parties.

Dr. P.P. Medhi, learned counsel accepts notice on behalf of the opposite party No. 5 (petitioner in WP(C) No. 522(AP)/2025) and Mr. N. Ratan, learned Addl. Advocate General accepts notice on behalf of the State respondent Nos. 1 to 4. No need to issue any formal notice.

The learned Addl. Advocate General submits that they will not be filing any written objection, while Dr. P.P. Medhi, learned counsel for opposite party No. 5 submits that if the applicants state that their protest against the factory run by the opposite party No. 5/petitioner in WP(C) No. 522(AP)/2025 is only a peaceful protest, then, they will not be filing any written objection to the

impleadment application filed by the applicants and that if it is a peaceful protest they could continue its work in the factory.

The learned counsel for the applicant also submits that the protest made by the applicant is a peaceful protest and that he will continue his protest peacefully.

In view of the above submissions, this court finds it appropriate to allow the instant IA and allow the impleadment of the applicant as respondent No. 5 in the connected WP(C) No. 522(AP)/2025.

Accordingly, I.A.(C) No. 325(AP)/2025 stands allowed and disposed of.

**JUDGE**

**Comparing Assistant**