

GAHC040019652025



THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)
(ITANAGAR BENCH)

Case No. : IA(C)/300/2025 In
WA/49/2025

The State of AP and 2 Ors
represented by the Secretary (Land Management) Govt of Arunachal Pradesh. 2:
The Deputy Commissioner cum District Collector

Age:

Occupation :

Papum Pare District

Yupia

Arunachal Pradesh 791112

3: The District Land Revenue and Settlement Officer

Age:

Occupation :

Yupia

Papum Pare District

Arunachal Pradesh 79111

VERSUS

Nabam Tado and 4 Ors

Son of Late Nabam Epo, resident of Rono Village, Po and PS Doimukh, Papum Pare
District, Arunachal Pradesh. 2:The Union of India

Age: 0

Occupation :

represented by the Secretary

Ministry of Civil Aviation

Govt of India

Rajiv Gandhi Bhavan

Safdarjughn Airport Area

New Delhi 110003

3:The Secretary Civil Aviation

Age: 0

Occupation :
Govt of Arunachal Pradesh
Civil Secretariat
Itanagar 791111

4:The Airport Authority of India
Age: 0
Occupation :
represented by its Chairman
Rajiv Gandhi Bhavan
Safdarjung Airport
New Delhi 110003

5:The Regional Executive Director
Age: 0
Occupation :
North Eastern Region
Airports Authority of India
Regional Headquarters (NER) LGBI Airport
Guwahati 78101

Advocate for the Petitioner : GA (AP), GA (AP)

Advocate for the Respondent : Marto Kato, DSGI

BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KUMAR MEDHI
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE BUDI HABUNG

ORDER

S.K. Medhi, J.

11.02.2026

Heard Shri N. Ratan, learned Addl. A.G., A.P. for the applicants. Also heard Shri L. Perme, learned counsel for the respondent no. 1 as well as Shri M. Kato, learned Dy. S.G.I. for the Union of India. Shri R. Dubey, learned counsel is present for the Airport Authority of India.

Shri Ratan, learned Addl. A.G. by drawing the attention of this Court to the averments made in paragraphs 4 and 5 has submitted that due to compelling

reasons which were beyond the control of the applicant, the delay has occasioned.

The learned counsel for the respondents have not seriously objected to the prayer for condonation of delay. Shri Perme, learned counsel has, however, submitted that he would contest the appeal on merits.

The law on the subject of condonation of delay is settled by a catena of decisions of the Hon'ble Supreme Court wherein, it has been laid down that a pragmatic and justice oriented approach should be taken.

We have also taken into consideration the grounds put forward and also the fact that the delay is not an inordinate one.

Considering the above, the delay is condoned.

The I.A. accordingly stands allowed.

The registry is directed to register the appeal and list the same for admission hearing.

JUDGE

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant