

GAHC040019652025



THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)
(ITANAGAR BENCH)

IA(C)/300/2025 in
WA/49/2025

The State of AP and 2 Ors
represented by the Secretary (Land Management) Govt of Arunachal Pradesh. 2:
The Deputy Commissioner cum District Collector

Age:

Occupation :

Papum Pare District

Yupia

Arunachal Pradesh 791112

3: The District Land Revenue and Settlement Officer

Age:

Occupation :

Yupia

Papum Pare District

Arunachal Pradesh 79111

VERSUS

Nabam Tado and 4 Ors

Son of Late Nabam Epo, resident of Rono Village, Po and PS Doimukh, Papum Pare District, Arunachal Pradesh. 2:The Union of India

Age: 0

Occupation :

represented by the Secretary

Ministry of Civil Aviation

Govt of India

Rajiv Gandhi Bhavan

Safdarjughn Airport Area

New Delhi 110003

3:The Secretary Civil Aviation

Age: 0

Occupation :
Govt of Arunachal Pradesh
Civil Secretariat
Itanagar 791111

4:The Airport Authority of India
Age: 0
Occupation :
represented by its Chairman
Rajiv Gandhi Bhavan
Safdarjung Airport
New Delhi 110003

5:The Regional Executive Director
Age: 0
Occupation :
North Eastern Region
Airports Authority of India
Regional Headquarters (NER) LGBI Airport
Guwahati 78101

Advocate for the Petitioner : GA (AP), GA (AP)

Advocate for the Respondent : Marto Kato, DSGI

BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KUMAR MEDHI
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE BUDI HABUNG

ORDER

S.K. Medhi, J.

05.02.2026

Heard Shri N. Ratan, learned Addl. A.G., A.P. appearing for the appellant, who has filed this application for condonation of delay of 41 days in preferring the connected appeal.

It is submitted on behalf of the appellant that this application has been filed in connection with WA/49/2025. We have also been informed that so far as the other appeals are concerned, the delay has been condoned.

In the interest of justice, the matter is adjourned to be listed on 11.02.2026 when this Court would consider the aspect of condonation of delay.

Shri R. Dubey, learned counsel for the Airport Authority of India which is a proforma respondent appeared through online.

Shri P.D. Nair, learned counsel and and Shri M. Kato, D.S.G.I are present.

JUDGE

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant