

GAHC040018592025



THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)
(ITANAGAR BENCH)

Case No. : WP(C)/531/2025

Arunachal University of Studies
through its Registrar Mr Divyanshu Goel, NH 52, Knowledge City, Namsai, Namsai
District, Arunachal Pradesh 79210

VERSUS

The State of AP and 3 Ors
represented by the Secretary Education, Department of Education, Ministry of
Education, Itanagar, Civil Secretariat, Block IV, 5th Floor, Itanagar, Arunachal
Pradesh 791111 2:The State of AP

Age: 0

Occupation :

represented by the Secretary Legal Affairs
Department of Legal Affairs
Ministry of Law and justice
Itanagar
Civil Secretariat
Itanagar
Arunachal Pradesh 791111

3:The Arunachal Pradesh Private Educational Institution Regulatory Commission

Age: 0

Occupation :

represented by Secretary
Arunachal Pradesh Private Educational Institution Regulatory Commission
APSCTE Building
Directorate of Higher Education Campus
ESS Sector
Itanagar
Arunachal Pradesh 791111

4:Tsering Naksang

Age: 0

Occupation :
presently working as Chairman of the Arunachal Pradesh Private Institution
Regulatory Commission
APSCTE Building
Director of Higher Education Campus
ESS Sector
Itanagar
Arunachal Pradesh 79111

Advocate for the Petitioner : Teto Taba, Punung Borang, Nang walika Jenow, Nimcha Hondique, C
Ering, Begi Taba

Advocate for the Respondent : Taba Tagum, GA (AP), SC (Education)

**BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY KUMAR MEDHI**

ORDER

Date : 12.02.2026

Heard Shri D. K. Das, learned Senior Counsel, assisted by Ms. N. Hondique, learned counsel for the petitioner. Also heard Ms. T. Wangmu, learned State Counsel, and Shri T. Jamoh, learned counsel for respondent No. 3. Shri R. Riba, learned counsel, has entered appearance for respondent no. 4.

Ms. Wangmu, learned State Counsel, has informed that the affidavit-in-opposition has been filed.

Both Ms. Wangmu, learned State Counsel and Shri Jamoh, learned counsel for respondent no.3, pray for and are granted three weeks' time to file the affidavit-in-opposition.

Shri Das, learned Senior Counsel, prays for an interim order.

This Court has, however, noted that though this matter has come up on a number of occasions and notice was issued on 13.11.2025, no interim order was passed.

This Court has also noted that though a *writ of quo warranto* has been prayed for, the same is at the instance of a University. This Court, exercising equity jurisdiction, cannot be oblivious of the status of the party approaching it, and *prima facie*, no case for passing an interim order is made out at the behest of a University.

In view of the above, the prayer for interim order is rejected. It is, however, clarified that the views expressed are tentative in nature and shall not prejudice the rights of the contesting parties in any manner at the time of adjudication of the issues involved.

List on **11.03.2026**.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant