

GAHC040018592025



**THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT**  
**(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)**  
**(ITANAGAR BENCH)**

**Case No. : WP(C)/531/2025**

Arunachal University of Studies  
through its Registrar Mr Divyanshu Goel, NH 52, Knowledge City, Namsai, Namsai  
District, Arunachal Pradesh 79210

VERSUS

The State of AP and 3 Ors  
represented by the Secretary Education, Department of Education, Ministry of  
Education, Itanagar, Civil Secretariat, Block IV, 5th Floor, Itanagar, Arunachal  
Pradesh 791111      2:The State of AP

Age: 0

Occupation :

represented by the Secretary Legal Affairs  
Department of Legal Affairs  
Ministry of Law and justice  
Itanagar  
Civil Secretariat  
Itanagar  
Arunachal Pradesh 791111

3:The Arunachal Pradesh Private Educational Institution Regulatory Commission

Age: 0

Occupation :

represented by Secretary  
Arunachal Pradesh Private Educational Institution Regulatory Commission  
APSCTE Building  
Directorate of Higher Education Campus  
ESS Sector  
Itanagar  
Arunachal Pradesh 791111

4:Tsering Naksang

Age: 0

Occupation :  
presently working as Chairman of the Arunachal Pradesh Private Institution  
Regulatory Commission  
APSCTE Building  
Director of Higher Education Campus  
ESS Sector  
Itanagar  
Arunachal Pradesh 79111

**Advocate for the Petitioner** : Teto Taba, Punung Borang, Nang walika Jenow, Nimcha Hondique, C  
Ering, Begi Taba

**Advocate for the Respondent** : Taba Tagum, GA (AP), SC (Education)

**BEFORE  
HONOURABLE MRS. JUSTICE MARLI VANKUNG**

**ORDER**

**Date : 23.01.2026**

Heard Mr. D. K. Das, learned senior counsel assisted by Mr. T. Taba, learned counsel for the petitioner. Also heard Mr. Y. Riram, learned Government Advocate for the respondents No.1 and 2 and Mr. T. Jamoh, learned counsel for the respondent No.3.

It is seen that notice upon the respondent No.4 is not complete till date, since A/D card has not returned.

The learned senior counsel for the petitioner submits that in terms of the judgment of the Apex Court in ***Jagdish Singh Versus Nattu Singh***, notice may be deemed to be complete upon the respondent No.4 under Section 27 of the General Clauses Act, 1897.

This Court, however, finds that petitioner may make an attempt to

serve notice upon the respondent No.4 through *dasti* mode in the interest of justice.

Accordingly, petitioner to take steps for service of notice upon the respondent No.4 through *dasti* mode and affidavit to that effect to be filed accordingly.

The learned counsels for the respondents have also prayed for further date to file their counter-affidavits in the matter.

In view of the above, list the matter on **12.02.2026**, wherein, the respondents should positively file their counter-affidavits so as to enable the matter to be heard and an attempt may be made, if possible, to dispose of the matter on the same day itself.

**JUDGE**

**Comparing Assistant**