

GAHC040018252025



THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)
(ITANAGAR BENCH)

Case No. : WP(C)/517/2025

Dosh Dasi
Son of Late Tajam Dasi, permanent resident of Power Grid Colony, PO and PS
Daporijo, Upper Subansiri District, Arunachal Pradesh.

VERSUS

The State of AP and 4 Ors
represented by the Secretary, Land Management Department, Govt of Arunachal
Pradesh, Itanagar. 2:The Director
Age: 0
Occupation :
Land Management Department
Govt of Arunachal Pradesh
Itanagar.

3:The Deputy Commissioner
Age: 0
Occupation :
Upper Subansiri District
Daporijo
Arunachal Pradesh.

4:The Additional Deputy Commissioner cum Estate Officer
Age: 0
Occupation :
Upper Subnasiri District
Daporijo
Arunachal Pradesh.

5:The Officer Badminton Club under District Badminton Association
Age: 0
Occupation :
Daporijo

represented by Shri Tania Dobin (President) the Upper Subansiri District Badminton Association

Advocate for the Petitioner : Idak Bam, Lardam Murtem, Mipe Ete

Advocate for the Respondent : GA (AP),

**BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRANJAL DAS**

ORDER

Date : 07.11.2025

Heard Mr. I. Bam, learned counsel for the petitioner, who has invoked the constitutional jurisdiction under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, seeking a writ/direction and also aggrieved by the order dated 12.09.2025, passed by the learned Additional Deputy Commissioner-cum-Estate Officer, Upper Subansiri District, Daporijo, vide Order No. LM/DRJ-18/2025/23-111.

2. The basic facts projected from the petitioner's side are that he has occupied a plot of land with an RCC boundary wall of the Electrical Power Complex area near the Veterinary Fodder Farm, Daporijo, and that he had made a request in 2006 vide his letter dated 05.01.2006 for regularization of the said land occupation. On the basis of the said request, a field verification report was prepared, which is stated to have returned a positive finding in favour of the petitioner. Hence, it was recommended that the said regularization may be done. In this regard, the petitioner has referred to a verification report which is annexed as Annexure-II to the writ petition. There was also a recommendation in the said report for placing the matter before the concerned Board then in existence.

3. It is contended that however, no decision whatsoever was taken and in the

meantime, in 2012, Notification No. LM-102/1010/3780 dated 24.05.2012 was issued by the Government of Arunachal Pradesh, Land Management Department, regarding identification of government lands occupied by private individuals and taking steps after verification for regularization of the said lands; but also for realization of land premium and lease rent from the date of occupation.

4. It is contended that despite such notification, the land was not regularized in favour of the petitioner; rather, by notice dated 28.03.2025, he was directed to be evicted based on a complaint from the Badminton Association. It appears that subsequently, an appeal preferred by the petitioner with regard to the notice of eviction was also rejected vide order dated 24.10.2025. Under the circumstances, the petitioner has approached this Court seeking regularization of his land and also prevention of the eviction sought to be carried out.

5. Heard Ms. T. Wangmu, learned Government Advocate for the State of Arunachal Pradesh, who undertakes to take necessary instructions in the matter.

6. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in the event no interim protection is granted, he may be prejudiced.

7. I have given my consideration to the matter. Since this Court has agreed, as part of its writ jurisdiction, to look into the grievance of the petitioner, it is directed that till the next date of listing, he shall not be subjected to any coercive measure with regard to the concerned land, in the interest of justice.

8. List the matter on **18.11.2025**.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant