

GAHC040017722023



**THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT**  
**(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)**  
**(ITANAGAR BENCH)**

**Case No. : Crl.Petn./240/2023**

Sunil Bhardwaj @ Sunil Kumar Bharadwaj  
S/o Late Sh. Kalyan Sharma, R/o Plot No. 71, Block-C, ETA-1, Greater Noida,  
Surajpur, Gautam Buddha Nagar, Noida UP, PIN 201306

VERSUS

The State of AP and 2 Others  
represented by public prosecutor.

2:Smti Neha Khirme  
Age: 0  
Occupation :  
W/o Nima Tsering Khirme  
R/o Sanglo Putung Colony Zoo Road  
Itanagar  
Papum Pare  
Arunachal Pradesh 791113

3:Dorjee Phuntso Khirme  
Age: 0  
Occupation :  
S/o Nima Tsering Khirme  
R/o Sanglo Putung Colony Zoo Road  
Itanagar  
Papum Pare  
Arunachal Pradesh 79111

**Advocate for the Petitioner** : D K Baidya

**Advocate for the Respondent** : P P of AP

**BEFORE  
HONBLE MR. JUSTICE MRIDUL KUMAR KALITA**

**ORDER**

**Date : 30.08.2024**

Heard Mr. A. Sahni, learned counsel for the petitioner. Also heard Ms. L. Hage, learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State respondent No. 1 as well as Mr. M. Kato, learned counsel for the respondent Nos. 2 & 3.

Mr. M. Kato, learned counsel for the respondents submits that though on last occasion the respondents were directed to file their affidavit-in-opposition, however, due to personal difficulty of the informant/the respondent affidavit-in-opposition could not be filed and he prays for some more time for filing the same.

On the other hand, learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that on several occasion the respondents were given an opportunity to file affidavit-in-opposition, hence, a date for final hearing may be fixed.

I have considered the submissions made by the learned counsel for both sides. Considering the submissions made for last one more chance, the learned counsel for the respondents is allowed to file affidavit-in-opposition in respect of the respondent Nos. 2 & 3 within a period of 4(four) weeks from today.

It is hereby made clear that no indulgence would be granted to the petitioner on his failure to file affidavit-in-opposition on the next date and the matter would be fixed for hearing on its failure.

List the matter again after 4(four) weeks, on a date to be fixed by the Registry.

Interim order passed earlier shall continue till the next date of listing.

**JUDGE**

**Comparing Assistant**