



**THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT**  
**(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)**  
**(ITANAGAR BENCH)**

**Case No. : WP(C) 597/2018**

1:SMTI OSI KOPAK  
RESIDENT OF PANGIN, PO/PS PANGIN, SIANG DIST. AP. MOBILE NO.  
8414012127

VERSUS

1:THE STATE OF A.P. and 5 Ors.  
THROUGH THE SECRETARY DISTRICT ADMINISTRATION, GOVT. OF A P,  
ITANAGAR.

2:THE SECRETARY  
DISTRICT ADMINISTRATION  
GOVT. OF AP  
ITANAGAR.

3:THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER  
SIANG DIST. GOVT. OF AP.

4:THE SELECTION BOARD CONSTITUTED  
FOR CONDUCTING RECRUITMENT FOR ONE POST OF LOWER DIVISION  
CLERK UNDER THE ESTABLISHMENT OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER  
SIANG DIST. THROUGH ITS CHAIRMAN THE ADDITIONAL DEPUTY  
COMMISSIONER  
SIANG DIST. AP.

5:THE ASSISTANT PUBLIC INFORMATION OFFICER  
OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER  
SIANG DIST. AP.

6:SHRI GAGAM MOYONG  
PRESENTLY SERVING AS LOWER DIVISION CLERK IN THE  
ESTABLISHMENT OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER  
SIANG DIST. GOVT. OF AP



PANGING  
PO/PS PANGIN  
AP

**Advocate for the Petitioner** : MS.D Tamuk

**Advocate for the Respondent** : Muk Pertin, Senior Advocate

**BEFORE**  
**HONBLE MR. JUSTICE A M BUJOR BARUA**

**ORDER**

**Date : 29.03.2022**

Vide order dated 09.03.2017 of the Commissioner DA Govt. of Arunachal Pradesh, amongst others, 8 (eight) posts of LDC-cum-Computer Operators were created and sanctioned for the Siang District.

This is a dispute raised by the petitioner that is the selection to the post of LDC in the District Administration Office of Siang District, for which 1 (one) post was advertised, the petitioner on merit had secured much higher marks than the respondent no.5, but the then Deputy Commissioner by manipulating the affairs and showing the petitioner to have obtained lesser marks had issued an order of appointment in favour of the respondent no.5.

The respondent no.5 is stated to be still working. But at the same time, we also have to protect and recognize the legal right and the legal injury that had been caused to the petitioner, which may require a setting aside of the appointment of the respondent no.5. But before doing so, we would like to have an information from the District Administration, Siang as to whether any other post of LDC is available where the petitioner may be appointed or if the petitioner is appointed against the post for which he had participated in the



selection process, if the respondent no.5 can be adjusted against any other post.

The required information be provided on 31.03.2022.

**JUDGE**

**Comparing Assistant**