



GAHC040017472018



THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)
(ITANAGAR BENCH)

Case No. : WP(C)/597/2018

SMTI OSI KOPAK
RESIDENT OF PANGIN, PO/PS PANGIN, SIANG DIST. AP. MOBILE NO.
8414012127

VERSUS

THE STATE OF A.P. and 5 Ors.
THROUGH THE SECRETARY DISTRICT ADMINISTRATION, GOVT. OF A.P.,
ITANAGAR.

2:THE SECRETARY

Age: 0

Occupation :

DISTRICT ADMINISTRATION

GOVT. OF AP

ITANAGAR.

3:THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER

Age: 0

Occupation :

SIANG DIST. GOVT. OF AP.

4:THE SELECTION BOARD CONSTITUTED

Age: 0

Occupation :

FOR CONDUCTING RECRUITMENT FOR ONE POST OF LOWER DIVISION
CLERK UNDER THE ESTABLISHMENT OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER
SIANG DIST. THROUGH ITS CHAIRMAN THE ADDITIONAL DEPUTY
COMMISSIONER
SIANG DIST. AP.

5:THE ASSISTANT PUBLIC INFORMATION OFFICER

Age: 0



Occupation :
OFFICE OF THE DEPUT COMMISSIONER
SIANG DIST. AP.

6:SHRI GAGAM MOYONG

Age: 0

Occupation :

PRESENTLY SERVING ITS LOWER DIVISION CLERK IN THE
ESTABLISHMENT OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER

SIANG DIST. GOVT. OF AP

PANGING

PO/PS PANGIN

AP

Advocate for the Petitioner : Dicky Panging, Sr. Adv, G Basar,M Gibi,Mr.M Doji,Marge D,O
Tayeng,MR.V Jamoh

Advocate for the Respondent : Muk Pertin, Senior Advocate, „GA (AP),,,,,Karyom Dabi,D Tatak,R.
Riba,Mukbom Pertin,Nikita Danggen,Karmu Chotton,Honi Tana Tara,K Gao,Nani Kuru,Phurpa
Wangmu

**BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE KARDAK ETE**

ORDER

Date : 31.07.2026

Heard Mr. D. Panging, learned Senior Counsel, assisted by Mr. M. Doji, learned counsel for the petitioner. Also heard Mr. S. Tapin, learned Senior Government Advocate for the State respondents and Ms. N. Danggen, learned counsel for ht respondent No. 6.

Upon hearing the learned counsel for the parties at length, this Court is of the considered view that, for proper adjudication of the issues involved in the present writ petition, the records pertaining to the entire selection process are required to be perused.

Accordingly, the matter is adjourned.



Mr. S. Tapin, learned Senior Government Advocate shall produce the records pertaining to the entire selection process on the next date.

It is noticed that the Court's copy of the affidavit-in-opposition filed by respondent No. 6 is not available.

This Court, in the judgment and order dated 23.05.2022, particularly in paragraph 10 thereof, had directed as under:

"10. The counsel for the Respondent No. 6 also submits that the respondent No. 6 has also filed an affidavit-in-opposition on 22.12.2021 and the copy of the said affidavit-in-opposition so filed was placed before this Court during the course of the hearing. The Registry is directed to verify the filing of the affidavit-in-oppositions by the Respondents No. 1 to 5 & Respondent No. 6 respectively and if it is available, to make it a part of the records."

In view of the above, the Registry is directed to verify the filing of the affidavit-in-opposition filed by respondent No. 6 and, if the same is found to have been filed, to place the said affidavit-in-opposition in the records.

List the matter **after 4 (four) weeks**.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant