

GAHC040017432025



THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)
(ITANAGAR BENCH)

Case No. : CRP/100/2025

Marto Tasar and Anr
Son of Late Jimar Tasar, a permanent resident of Village Pushi Nyorak, PO and PS
Aalo, West Siang District, Arunachal Pradesh.

2: Minjom Bagra
Age:
Occupation :
Son of Late Imin Bagra
a permanent resident of Village Lipu Bagra
PO Bagra
PS Aalo
West Siang District
Arunachal Pradesh

VERSUS

Bomli Basar and Anr
Son of Shri Tobom Basar, a permanent resident of Village Gori-III, PO and PS Basar,
Leparada District, Arunachal Pradesh.

2:Smti Mangam Basar
Age: 0
Occupation :
Wife of Shri Tobom Basar
a permanent resident of Village Gori-III
PO and PS Basar
Leparada District
Arunachal Pradesh

Advocate for the Petitioner : Kemo Lollen, Arun Yun, Maryum Sora, Geli Taye, D Ado

Advocate for the Respondent : ,

**BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE KARDAK ETE**

ORDER

Date : 22.10.2025

Heard Mr. K. Lollen, learned counsel for the petitioners.

Claiming to be aggrieved by the Keba decision dated 23.09.2025, passed by the village authority/Gaon Bura of Gori-II, whereby, a sum of Rs. 12,40,000/- (Rupees Twelve Lakhs Forty Thousand) which was paid to the petitioner No. 1 by the respondent No. 2 for the cost of land measuring 400 Sq. meters at Rugen area of Basar in the District of Leparada, was directed to be returned within a period of 1 (one) month, the present revision petition is filed.

It is the contention of the learned counsel for the petitioners that the Keba has arrived at a wrong decision without hearing the petitioner No. 2, the actual land owner and the respondent No. 2, who has actually purchased the land. Therefore, without hearing the necessary parties, the Keba has committed a jurisdictional error in deciding the issue merely on the basis of the complaint lodged by the respondent No. 1, who is the son of the respondent No. 2.

Issue notice, returnable by 4 (four) weeks.

The learned counsel for the petitioners to take steps for service of notice upon the respondent Nos. 1 & 2, by registered post with A/D as well as by usual process, within 5 (five) working days.

Till the returnable date, the Keba decision dated 23.09.2025, passed by the village authority/Gaon Bura of Gori-II shall not be given effect to.

Since the interim order is passed without hearing the respondents, the respondents are at liberty to approach this Court for modification of this order, if so

advised.

Considering that *prima facie* the matter may be a case of appeal, pendency of this revision petition shall not be a bar for the petitioners to take a call on the issue.

List the matter **after 4 (four) weeks**.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant