

GAHC040017092024



THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)
(ITANAGAR BENCH)

Case No. : WP(C)/584/2024

Miss Onam Apang
Daughter of Anom Apang, resident of F Sector, Itanagar, PO and PS Itanagar, Papum
Pare District, Arunachal Pradesh.

VERSUS

The State of AP and 2 Ors
through the Commissioner/ Secretary, Department of Education, Govt of Arunachal
Pradesh, Itanagar. 2:The Director of Elementary Education
Age: 0
Occupation :
Govt of Arunachal Pradesh
Itanagar.

3:The Deputy Director of School Education
Age: 0
Occupation :
Itanagar Capital Complex
PO and PS Itanagar
Arunachal Pradesh

Advocate for the Petitioner : Abhai Kumar Singh, Nabam Kakum, Tsering Nyima

Advocate for the Respondent : Taba Tagum, SC (Education)

**BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ROBIN PHUKAN**

ORDER

Date : 10.12.2024

Heard Mr. T. Nyima, learned counsel for the petitioner and Mr. T. Tagum, learned Standing counsel for the respondents.

2. In this petition, under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, the petitioner has prayed for issuing direction to the respondent authorities for being appointed in the post of Primary Teacher or any other post commensurate with her educational qualification in pursuance of the Die-in-harness scheme, framed by the State of Arunachal Pradesh, vide O.M. No. 4/2001, dated 12.07.2001.

3. The grievance of the petitioner is that her mother, who was working as a Primary Teacher in the Department of Education and serving at a Government Middle School, at Niti Vihar, Itanagar, passed away on 27.11.2020, while she was attending duty during the COVID-19 pandemic, and she was the only earning member of the family. Thereafter, the petitioner had submitted an application to the respondent authorities on 11.02.2021, seeking consideration for compassionate appointment to any suitable post commensurate with her education qualification and the said application was duly forwarded by the Deputy Director of School Education, Government of Arunachal Pradesh. But, the said application failed to yield any result.

4. Let notice be issued to the respondents returnable in four weeks.

5. Since all the respondents are represented by Mr. T. Tagum, learned Standing counsel, no formal notice is required to be issued to them. However, extra copies of the petition be furnished to him during the course of the day.

6. List the matter after four weeks.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant