

GAHC040016572025



THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)
(ITANAGAR BENCH)

Case No. : WP(C)/471/2025

Khoda Mudho Son of Shri Khoda Talley, presently residing at Govt Quarter T-IV,
High Region Pasighat, PO and PS Pasighat, East Siang District, Arunachal Pradesh.

VERSUS

The State of AP and 6 Ors
through the Secretary (Rural Development) Govt of Arunachal Pradesh, Itanagar.

2:The Secretary (Rural Development) Govt of Arunachal Pradesh Itanagar.

3:The Director Rural Development Department Itanagar.

4:The Deputy Director (Rural Development) Pasighat Arunachal Pradesh.

5:The Project Director Rural Development Govt of Arunachal Pradesh.

6:The Deputy Commissioner Pasighat Arunachal Pradesh.

7:Sanjay Kumar Srivastava Extension Officer (Rural Engineering)
Directorate of Rural Development Itanagar

Advocate for the Petitioner : Khoda Tama, Khoda Yubey,T Lampung,Tasso Dodung,Jambey
Tsering,Hinti Jeram

Advocate for the Respondent : GA (AP), SC (RD),Binter Picha,Tabung Nonang,Kipa Tagar,Toge
Rolley,T Tagam,Signal Yirang,A Perme,Krishna Dubey,Lissing Perme

**BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE BUDI HABUNG**

ORDER

Date : 13.08.2026

Heard Mr. Jambey Tsering, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner. Also heard Mr. Binter Picha, learned standing counsel, Department of Rural Development, appearing on behalf of respondent Nos. 1, 2, 3, 4 & 5, Ms. Pubi Pangu, learned Government Advocate, appearing on behalf of respondent No. 6, and Mr. Lissing Perme, learned counsel, appearing on behalf of respondent No. 7.

2. Mr. Tsering, learned counsel for the petitioner, submits that during the pendency of this writ petition, the petitioner has already been transferred to Damin-Huri and he has also joined at his new place of posting and therefore, no surviving grievance remains against the respondents in this writ petition. The learned counsel, accordingly, on instruction, prays for closure of this writ petition as having become infructuous.

3. Prayer, as sought for, is hereby granted.

4. In view of the above, the instant writ petition stands dismissed as having become infructuous.

5. Interim order, if any, stands vacated.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant