

GAHC040016252025



THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)
(ITANAGAR BENCH)

Case No. : WP(C)/459/2025

M/s Barapani Enterprises
represented by its power of attorney holder Shri Tago Taju, Son of Late Chilli Taju,
Village Lika, Likabali, Lower Siang District, Arunachal Pradesh. 791125

VERSUS

The Union of India and 3 Ors
represented by the Secretary, Ministry of Road Transport and Highways, Govt of
India, Transport Bhawan, 1 Parliament Street, New Delhi, 110001 2:National
Highways and Infrastructure Development Corporation Ltd
Age: 0
Occupation :
represented by its General Manager (P) Branch Office
PMU BASAR
PO and PS Basar
Leparada District
Arunachal Pradesh 791101

3:National Highways and Infrastructure Development Corporation Ltd
Age: 0
Occupation :
represented by its General Manager (P) Branch Office
PME Likabali
PO and PS Likabali
Lower Siang District
Arunachal Pradesh 791125

4:The Executive Director (P)
Age: 0
Occupation :
National Highways and Infrastructure Development Corporation Ltd
Regional Office Division IV
Itanagar

PO and PS Itanagar
Papum Pare District
Arunachal Pradesh. 79111

Advocate for the Petitioner : Arup Bhattacharjee, Doli Mara, G T Sangma, A R Gogoi

Advocate for the Respondent : Marto Kato, Tapan Bharali, Surendra Sahu, CGSC, Keeke Loya, DSGI

**BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ROBIN PHUKAN**

ORDER

20.03.2026

Heard Mr. A. Bhattacharjee, learned counsel for the petitioner and Ms. O. Jerang, learned counsel appearing on behalf of Mr. K. Loyat, learned CGC, respondent No. 1. Also heard Ms. A. Roy, learned counsel for the respondent Nos. 2-4.

Ms. Roy, learned counsel for the respondent Nos. 2-4 submits that she may be granted some time to file affidavit-in-opposition.

Mr. Bhattacharjee, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that on earlier occasion also, the learned counsel for the respondent Nos. 2-4 had sought time for filing affidavit-in-opposition and as such, last chance may be granted to her.

Having considered the submission of the Mr. Bhattacharjee, last opportunity is granted to the learned counsel for the respondent Nos. 2-4 to file affidavit-in-opposition.

Also, taking note of the submission of Mr. Bhattacharjee, it is provided that pendency of this writ petition shall not be a bar in releasing the admitted

amount of outstanding bill to the petitioner.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant