

GAHC040016252025



THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)
(ITANAGAR BENCH)

Case No. : WP(C)/459/2025

M/s Barapani Enterprises
represented by its power of attorney holder Shri Tago Taju, Son of Late Chilli Taju,
Village Lika, Likabali, Lower Siang District, Arunachal Pradesh. 791125

VERSUS

The Union of India and 3 Ors
represented by the Secretary, Ministry of Road Transport and Highways, Govt of
India, Transport Bhawan, 1 Parliament Street, New Delhi, 110001

2:National Highways and Infrastructure Development Corporation Ltd

Age: 0

Occupation :

represented by its General Manager (P)

PMU BASAR

Arunachal Pradesh

Near Banquet Hall

Niti Vihar

Itanagar

Papum Pare District 791111

3:The General Manager (P)

Age: 0

Occupation :

National Highways and Infrastructure Development Corporation Ltd

PMU BASAR

Arunachal Pradesh

near Banquet Hall

Niti Vihar

Itanagar

Papum Pare 791111

4:The Executive Director (P)

Age: 0
Occupation :
National Highways and Infrastructure Development Corporation Ltd
Regional Office Division IV
Itanagar
PO and PS Itanagar
Papum Pare District
Arunachal Pradesh. 79111

Advocate for the Petitioner : Arup Bhattacharjee, Doli Mara, G T Sangma, A R Gogoi

Advocate for the Respondent : Marto Kato, CGSC, KeekeLoya, DSGI

BEFORE
HONOURABLE MRS. JUSTICE MARLI VANKUNG

ORDER

Date : 29.10.2025

Heard Mr. A. Bhattacharjee, learned counsel for the petitioner, who has filed the instant writ petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of appropriate writ or order to the respondents on the ground that the petitioner executed a work of maintenance and repair work of 2-Laning of existing Akajan-Likabali-Bame Road on EPC basis from design KM 12+000 to 33+000 in the State of Arunachal Pradesh and an additional work with respect to the same stretch of work, however, he has not been paid for the works executed by him.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner on completion the work had submitted his bill amounting to Rs.1,39,29,867/-, but the respondents have failed to take any steps for payment of the said amount though they have admitted that the petitioner have completed the said work.

Notice of motion be issued to the respondents.

Mr. K. Loya, learned CGSC entered appearance and accepted notice on behalf of the respondent No. 1, no formal notice need be issued. However, requisite extra copies of the writ petition be furnish to him during the course of the day.

Petitioner to take steps for service of notice upon the respondent Nos. 2 to 4 by registered post with A/D as well as by usual process, within 3 (three) days from today.

List this matter after 3 (three) weeks.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant