

GAHC040015972024



**THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT**  
**(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)**  
**(ITANAGAR BENCH)**

**Case No. : WP(C)/545/2024**

Bohoni Mimi and 2 Ors  
Son of Late Yora Mimi, resident of Village Sirang, Dambuk, PO and PS Dambuk,  
Lower Dibang Valley District, Arunachal Pradesh 2: Bota Mimi  
Age:  
Occupation :  
Son of Late Yora Mimi  
resident of Village Sirang  
Dambuk  
PO and PS Dambuk  
Lower Dibang Valley District  
Arunachal Pradesh. present address Mayu-II  
Roing Lower Dibang Valley District.

3: Azad Mimi  
Age:  
Occupation :  
Son of Late Yora Mimi  
resident of Village Intaya  
Roing  
Lower Dibang Valley District  
Arunachal Pradesh

VERSUS

The State of AP and 3 Ors  
represented through Secretary, Land Management, Govt of Arunachal Pradesh, Civil  
Secretariat, Itanagar, Arunachal Pradesh 791111 2:The Deputy Commissioner  
Age: 0  
Occupation :  
Lower Dibang Valley District  
Roing  
Arunachal Pradesh 792110

3:The Additional Deputy Commissioner

Age: 0

Occupation :

Dambuk

Lower Dibang Valley District

Arunachal Pradesh 792110

4:Omane Mito

Age: 0

Occupation :

Son of Late Lando Mito

resident of Village Mayu II

PO and PS Roing

Lower Dibang Valley District

Arunachal Pradesh

**Advocate for the Petitioner** : Sunil Mow, S Mili, Aruna Rai, Tado Siga, Chanya Bangsia, k N Maling, Madan Mili

**Advocate for the Respondent** : GA (AP), Kinyak Loya, Keprunda Mena, Nani Anju

**-BEFORE-**

**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE BUDI HABUNG**

**ORDER**

**12.08.2026**

Heard Mr. S. Mow, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner. Also heard Ms. R. Basar, learned Government Advocate for State respondent Nos. 2 & 3 as well as Mr. A. Apang, learned Senior Counsel assisted by Ms. N. Anju, learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondent No. 4.

Mr. Mow, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he has received the copy of the affidavit-in-opposition filed by the respondents, he wants to file affidavit-in-reply, for which he prays for further 3 (three) weeks' time.

The prayer so made by the learned counsel for the petitioner has

not been objected to by the learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondents.

Accordingly, the prayer is allowed.

List this matter after 2 (two) weeks.

**JUDGE**

**Talom Tali, Private Secretary**