

GAHC040015802017



THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)
(ITANAGAR BENCH)

Case No. : WP(C)/369/2017

SMTI DANGTUPSI MALO
AGED ABOUT 60 YEARS W/O LT. G. KRI, VILLAGE DANGLAT, 32 MILES
PO/PS TEZU, DIST LOHIT AP.

VERSUS

THE STATE OF A.P.
REPRESENTED BY THE CHIEF SECRETARY GOVT. OF AP, ITANAGAR.
2:THE DIRECTOR LAND MANAGEMENT

Age: 0
Occupation :
GOVT. OF AP
ITANAGAR.

3:THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER
Age: 0
Occupation :
LOHIT DISTT. TEZU
AP.

4:THE ESTATE OFFICER
Age: 0
Occupation :
TEZU LOHIT DISTRICT
AP.

5:THE AIRPORT AUTHORITY OF INDIA
Age: 0
Occupation :
TEZU UNDER THE CONTROL OF THE UNION OF INDIA
MINISTRY OF CIVIL AVIATION
DISTRICT LOHIT
AP. TO BE REPRESENTED BY THE GENERAL MANAGER /MANAGER

OFFICER IN CHARGE
TEZU AIRPORT

Advocate for the Petitioner : Dicky Panging, Sr. Adv, Amicus Curiae, Sabda R Rabha

Advocate for the Respondent : M Ninu,

**BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE KARDAK ETE**

ORDER

Date : 27.07.2026

Heard Mr. D. Panging, learned Senior Counsel/Amicus Curiae, assisted by Mr. C. Tajo, learned counsel for the petitioner.

Having considered that an order dated 21.01.2026 is stated to have been communicated to the petitioner, but it is not clear whether the petitioner has actually received the said order, the matter was deferred for today.

Registry has not indicated anything in the office note.

Considering above, let this matter be listed after three weeks.

Mr. Panging, learned Amicus Curiae, is requested to obtain instructions from the counsel who was earlier engaged in the matter.

Mr. R. H. Nabam, learned Addl. A.G. for the State, is present.

The Registry is also directed to take steps as to whether the petitioner has received the order dated 21.01.2026, which is stated to have been communicated to her.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant