

GAHC040015772022



THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)
(ITANAGAR BENCH)

Case No. : IA(Crl)/68/2022

Nimkhil Jugli
Son of Late Khabui Jugli, Resident of new Jukhi Village, P.O., Kantang, P.S. Manmao
and District- Changlang, Arunachal Pradesh

VERSUS

THE STATE OF AP and Anr.
(Represented by the Public Prosecutor, Arunachal Pradesh.) 2:Manseng
Mossang
Age: 0
Occupation :
Son of Sri Humthan Massong

Resident of Village Rangbill
PO and PS Manmao
District Changlang
Arunachal Pradesh

Advocate for the Petitioner : A K Dasgupta

Advocate for the Respondent : P P of AP

Linked Case : Crl.A./43/2022

Nimkhil Jugli
Age: 56
Occupation :
Address: Son of Late Khabui Jugli
Resident of new Jukhi Village

P.O.
Kantang
P.S. Manmao and District- Changlang
Arunachal Pradesh

VERSUS

THE STATE OF AP and Anr.
Age: 0
Occupation :
Address:(Represented by the Public Prosecutor
Arunachal Pradesh.)
2:Manseng Mossang
Age: 0
Occupation :
Address:Son of Sri Humthan Massong

Resident of Village Rangbill
PO and PS Manmao
District Changlang
Arunachal Pradesh.

Advocate for : A K Dasgupta
Advocate for : P P of AP appearing for THE STATE OF AP and Anr.: Advocate ,
appearing for 2, respectively.

Linked Case : IA(Crl)/69/2022

Nimkhil Jugli
Age: 56
Occupation :
Address:Son of Late Khabui Jugli
Resident of new Jukhi Village
P.O.
Kantang
P.S. Manmao and District- Changlang
Arunachal Pradesh

VERSUS

THE STATE OF AP and Anr.
Age: 0
Occupation :
Address:Represented by the Public Prosecutor
Arunachal Pradesh.
2:Manseng Mossang

Age: 0
Occupation :
Address: Son of Sri Humthan Massong

Resident of Village Rangbill
PO and PS Manmao
District Changlang
Arunachal Pradesh.

Advocate for : A K Dasgupta
Advocate for : P P of AP appearing for THE STATE OF AP and Anr.: Advocate ,
appearing for 2, respectively.

BEFORE
HONBLE MR. JUSTICE NANI TAGIA
HONBLE MRS. JUSTICE MITALI THAKURIA

ORDER

Date : 02.08.2023
(NaniTagia, J)

Heard Mr. D. Ado, learned counsel for the applicant, who submits that the service report of respondent No. 2 is still awaited.

In view of the above, list these matters after 2(two) weeks with the service report of respondent No. 2.

JUDGE

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant