

GAHC040015732024



THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)
(ITANAGAR BENCH)

Case No. : WA/30/2024

The State of AP and 4 Ors

Age: 0

Occupation :

Address:through the Chief Secretary

Govt of Arunachal Pradesh

Itanagar.

2: The Chief Secretary

Age: 0

Occupation :

Address:Govt of Arunachal Pradesh

Itanagar.

3: The Secretary (Personnel)

Age: 0

Occupation :

Address:Govt of Arunachal Pradesh

Itanagar.

4: The Under Secretary (Personnel)

Age: 0

Occupation :

Address:Govt of Arunachal Pradesh

Itanagar.

5: The Secretary

Age: 0

Occupation :

Address:Arunachal Pradesh Public Service Commission

Itanagar.

VERSUS

Joram Nagu

Age: 49
Occupation :
Address:Son of Joram Tazing
presently serving as Under Secretary
Arunachal Pradesh Civil Secretariat
Itanagar
Papum Pare District
Arunachal Pradesh.

Advocate for : Subu Tapin
Advocate for : Marge Doji appearing for Joram Nagu: Advocate appearing for
respectively.

Linked Case : IA(C)/225/2024

The State of AP and 4 Ors
Age: 0
Occupation :
Address:through the Chief Secretary
Govt of Arunachal Pradesh
Itanagar.
2: The Chief Secretary
Age: 0
Occupation :
Address:Govt of Arunachal Pradesh
Itanagar.

3: The Secretary (Personnel)
Age: 0
Occupation :
Address:Govt of Arunachal Pradesh
Itanagar.

4: The Under Secretary (Personnel)
Age: 0
Occupation :
Address:Govt of Arunachal Pradesh
Itanagar.

5: The Secretary
Age: 0
Occupation :
Address:Arunachal Pradesh Public Service Commission
Itanagar.
VERSUS

Joram Nagu
Age: 49
Occupation :
Address: Son of Joram Tazing
presently serving as Under Secretary
Arunachal Pradesh Civil Secretariat
Itanagar
Papum Pare District
Arunachal Pradesh.

Advocate for : Subu Tapin
Advocate for : appearing for Joram Nagu: Advocate appearing for respectively.

BEFORE
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE KARDAK ETE
HONOURABLE MRS. JUSTICE SHAMIMA JAHAN

ORDER

Date : 24.06.2025.
(Kardak Ete, J)

Heard Mr. S. Tapin, learned Senior Government Advocate, Arunachal Pradesh for the appellants. Also heard Mr. D. Panging, learned counsel for the respondent.

This intra court appeal is directed against the judgment and order dated 09.11.2023, passed by the learned Single Judge, whereby the respondent authorities have been directed to reinstate the petitioner in service, by setting aside the suspension order dated 29.10.2022.

Appeal is admitted.

As Mr. D. Panging, learned counsel appeared on behalf of the sole respondent, issuance of formal notice is waived.

List the matter for hearing in usual course.

JUDGE

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant